

SPIEGELUNGSSATZ: A COMBINATORIAL PROOF FOR THE 4-RANK

LAURENT HABSIEGER

*Université de Lyon
CNRS, Université Lyon 1
INSA, Ecole Centrale de Lyon
UMR5208, Institut Camille Jordan
43 Blvd du 11 Novembre 1918
F-69622 Villeurbanne-Cedex, France
laurent.habsieger@math.univ-lyon1.fr*

EMMANUEL ROYER*

*Clermont Université
Université Blaise Pascal
Laboratoire de Mathématiques, BP 10448
F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France
emmanuel.royer@math.univ-bpclermont.fr*

Received 25 March 2011
Accepted 27 April 2011

The Spiegelungssatz is an inequality between the 4-ranks of the narrow ideal class groups of the quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$. We provide a combinatorial proof of this inequality. Our interpretation gives an affine system of equations that allows to describe precisely some equality cases.

Keywords: 4-Rank; Spiegelungssatz; combinatorial interpretation; reflection principle.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 11R29, 11R11, 11A15, 11T24, 05E15

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{K} be a quadratic field. Let $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the multiplicative group of fractional nonzero ideals of the ring of integers of \mathbb{K} and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. We consider the subgroup $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^+$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$, whose elements are the ones generated by an element with positive norm. The narrow class group $\mathcal{Cl}_{\mathbb{K}}^+$ of \mathbb{K} is the quotient $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{K}}/\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^+$. If \mathbb{K} is imaginary, this is the usual class group $\mathcal{Cl}_{\mathbb{K}} := \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{K}}/\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{K}}$ whereas if \mathbb{K} is real, the group $\mathcal{Cl}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{Cl}_{\mathbb{K}}^+$. We have $\mathcal{Cl}_{\mathbb{K}}^+ = \mathcal{Cl}_{\mathbb{K}}$ if and only if

*Current address: Université Blaise Pascal, Laboratoire de mathématiques, Les Cézeaux, BP 80026, F-63171 Aubière Cedex, France

the fundamental unit of \mathbb{K} has norm -1 . Otherwise, the cardinalities of these two groups differ by a factor 2. For more details about the relations between $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\mathbb{K}}^+$ we refer to [3, Sec. 4.1]. The narrow class-group being finite, we can define its p^k -rank for any power of a prime number p^k by

$$\text{Rank}_{p^k}(\mathbb{K}) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (\mathcal{C}\ell_{\mathbb{K}}^+)^{p^{k-1}} / (\mathcal{C}\ell_{\mathbb{K}}^+)^{p^k}.$$

In other words, $\text{Rank}_{p^k}(\mathbb{K})$ is the number of elementary divisors of $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\mathbb{K}}^+$ divisible by p^k .

If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$, the *reflection* of \mathbb{K} is the quadratic field $\mathbb{K}^\# := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\Delta})$. Assume that \mathbb{K} is totally real, in [1, Théorèmes II.9 and II.10], Damey and Payan proved the following inequality (the so-called *Spiegelungssatz* for the 4-rank, see [7]):

$$\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}) \leq \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#) \leq \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}) + 1.$$

In this paper, we provide a combinatorial proof of this *Spiegelungssatz* using expressions involving character sums due to Fouvry and Klüners [2]. The letter D will always denote a positive, odd, squarefree integer.

Let $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the discriminant of the real quadratic field \mathbb{K} and $d_{\mathbb{K}}^\#$ be the discriminant of the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{K}^\#$. The usual computation of the discriminant allows to consider three families of quadratic fields. This families are described in Table 1.

We introduce for any integers u and v coprime with D the cardinality

$$\mathcal{E}_D(u, v) := \#\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : D = ab, ua \equiv \square \pmod{b}, vb \equiv \square \pmod{a}\},$$

where $x \equiv \square \pmod{y}$ means that x is the square of an integer modulo y . Using Table 1, we find in [2] (where what the authors note D is what we note $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ or $d_{\mathbb{K}}^\#$) the following expressions for the 4-rank of \mathbb{K} and $\mathbb{K}^\#$.

(1) If $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then

$$2^{\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K})} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}_D(-1, 1)$$

[2, Lemma 27] and

$$2^{\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#)} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{E}_D(1, 1) + \mathcal{E}_D(2, 2))$$

[2, Lemma 40] with $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Table 1. Link between D , $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ and their reflections.

$d_{\mathbb{K}}$	1 (mod 4)	0 (mod 8)	4 (mod 8)
$d_{\mathbb{K}}$	D	$8D$	$4D$
$d_{\mathbb{K}}^\#$	$-4D$	$-8D$	$-D$
$d_{\mathbb{K}}^\#$	4 (mod 8)	0 (mod 8)	1 (mod 4)
D	1 (mod 4)		$-1 \pmod{4}$
\mathbb{K}	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2D})$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$

(2) If $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then

$$2^{\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K})} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{E}_D(-2, 1) + \mathcal{E}_D(-1, 2))$$

[2, Lemma 38] and

$$2^{\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#)} = \mathcal{E}_D(2, 1)$$

[2, Lemma 33].

(3) If $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, then

$$2^{\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K})} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{E}_D(-1, 1) + \mathcal{E}_D(-2, 2))$$

[2, Lemma 42] and

$$2^{\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#)} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}_D(1, 1)$$

[2, Lemma 16] with $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Remark. These expressions of $2^{\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K})}$ and $2^{\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#)}$ either have one term or are a sum of two terms. In case they have one term, it cannot be zero and this term is a power of 2. In case they are sum of two terms, we will show that each of these terms is either zero or a power of two; then considering the solutions of the equation $2^a = 2^b + 2^c$, we see that either one term (and only one) is zero or the two terms are equal.

To prove Damey and Payan *Spiegelungssatz*, we have then to prove the three following inequalities.

(1) If $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then

$$\mathcal{E}_D(-1, 1) \leq \mathcal{E}_D(1, 1) + \mathcal{E}_D(2, 2) \leq 2\mathcal{E}_D(-1, 1). \tag{1}$$

(2) For any D ,

$$\mathcal{E}_D(-2, 1) + \mathcal{E}_D(-1, 2) \leq 2\mathcal{E}_D(2, 1) \leq 2\mathcal{E}_D(-2, 1) + 2\mathcal{E}_D(-1, 2). \tag{2}$$

(3) If $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then

$$\mathcal{E}_D(-1, 1) + \mathcal{E}_D(-2, 2) \leq \mathcal{E}_D(1, 1) \leq 2\mathcal{E}_D(-1, 1) + 2\mathcal{E}_D(-2, 2). \tag{3}$$

In Sec. 2, we establish a formula for $\mathcal{E}_D(u, v)$ involving Jacobi characters. We average this formula over a group of order 8 generated by three permutations. We deduce properties for $\mathcal{E}_D(u, v)$ from this formula. In Sec. 3, we give an interpretation of $\mathcal{E}_D(u, v)$ in terms of the cardinality of an affine space. In particular, this shows that $\mathcal{E}_D(u, v)$ is either 0 or a power of 2. Finally, in Sec. 4, we combine the character

sum interpretation with the affine interpretation to deduce the *Spiegelungssatz*. We also prove the equality cases found by Uehara [9, Theorem 2] and give a new one.

2. A Character Sum

Denote by $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$ the Jacobi symbol of m and n , for any coprime odd integers m and n . The letter p will always denote a prime number. For any integers s, t, u and v coprime with D , we introduce the sum

$$\sigma_D(s, t, u, v) = \sum_{ab=D} \prod_{p|b} \left(\left(\frac{s}{p}\right) + \left(\frac{ua}{p}\right) \right) \prod_{p|a} \left(\left(\frac{t}{p}\right) + \left(\frac{vb}{p}\right) \right).$$

We have

$$\sigma_D(1, 1, u, v) = \sum_{ab=D} \prod_{p|b} \left(1 + \left(\frac{ua}{p}\right) \right) \prod_{p|a} \left(1 + \left(\frac{vb}{p}\right) \right) =: S_D(u, v).$$

This last sum is non-negative and related to our problem by the easy equality

$$\mathcal{E}_D(u, v) = 2^{-\omega(D)} S_D(u, v), \tag{4}$$

where $\omega(D)$ stands for the number of prime divisors of D . The aim of this section is to establish some properties of σ_D .

We note the symmetry relation

$$\sigma_D(s, t, u, v) = \sigma_D(t, s, v, u)$$

which gives $S_D(u, v) = S_D(v, u)$. The factorization

$$\sigma_D(s, t, u, v) = \sum_{ab=D} \left(\frac{s}{b}\right) \left(\frac{t}{a}\right) \prod_{p|b} \left(1 + \left(\frac{su a}{p}\right) \right) \prod_{p|a} \left(1 + \left(\frac{tv b}{p}\right) \right) \tag{5}$$

implies the upper bound

$$|\sigma_D(s, t, u, v)| \leq S_D(su, tv). \tag{6}$$

Finally, we shall use the elementary formula

$$2(-1)^{xy+yz+zx} = (-1)^x + (-1)^y + (-1)^z - (-1)^{x+y+z} \tag{7}$$

valid for any integers x, y and z .

We introduce the element $\beta(n) \in \mathbb{F}_2$ by

$$\left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) = (-1)^{\beta(n)}.$$

If m and n are coprime, the multiplicativity of the Jacobi symbol gives $\beta(m) + \beta(n) = \beta(mn)$. With this notation the quadratic reciprocity law reads

$$\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) \left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = (-1)^{\beta(m)\beta(n)}. \tag{8}$$

We shall combine (7) and (8) to get the linearization formula

$$2 \left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \left(\frac{y}{z}\right) \left(\frac{z}{x}\right) \left(\frac{x}{z}\right) \left(\frac{z}{y}\right) \left(\frac{y}{x}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{x}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{y}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{z}\right) - \left(\frac{-1}{xyz}\right).$$

Lemma 1. For any integers s, t, u, v coprime with D , the following equality

$$\sigma_D(s, t, u, v) = \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \left(\frac{s}{b}\right) \left(\frac{t}{a}\right) \left(\frac{u}{d}\right) \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)$$

holds.

Proof. By bimultiplicativity of the Jacobi symbol, Eq. (5) gives

$$\sigma_D(s, t, u, v) = \sum_{ab=D} \left(\frac{s}{b}\right) \left(\frac{t}{a}\right) \sum_{d|b} \left(\frac{usa}{d}\right) \sum_{c|a} \left(\frac{tvb}{c}\right).$$

By the change of variables $(a, b, c, d) = (\alpha\gamma, \beta\delta, \gamma, \delta)$, we get

$$\sigma_D(s, t, u, v) = \sum_{D=\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \left(\frac{s}{\beta}\right) \left(\frac{u}{\delta}\right) \left(\frac{v}{\gamma}\right) \left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right) \left(\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\delta}\right) \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}\right)$$

and we conclude using the quadratic reciprocity law (8) to $\left(\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right)\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)$. □

To build symmetry, we average the formula in Lemma 1 over an order 8 group, namely the group generated by three permutations: the permutation (a, d) , the permutation (b, c) and the permutation $((a, b), (d, c))$. The quadratic reciprocity law allows to factorize the term $(-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right)$ in every transformed sum and then to see u and v as describing the action of each permutation.

Proposition 2. For any integers s, t, u, v coprime with D , the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} &8S_D(u, v) \\ &= \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \\ &\times \left[2 \left(\frac{u}{d}\right) \left(\frac{v}{c}\right) + \left(\frac{u}{a}\right) \left(\frac{v}{c}\right) \left(\left(\frac{-1}{a}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{c}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right) - \left(\frac{-1}{acd}\right) \right) \right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{u}{d}\right) \left(\frac{v}{b}\right) \left(\left(\frac{-1}{b}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{c}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right) - \left(\frac{-1}{bcd}\right) \right) \\ &\left. + \left(\frac{u}{a}\right) \left(\frac{v}{b}\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{-1}{ac}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{bd}\right) - \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Proof. From Lemma 1 follows

$$S_D(u, v) = \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \left(\frac{u}{d}\right) \left(\frac{v}{c}\right). \tag{9}$$

We permute a and d and use the quadratic reciprocity law (8) to obtain

$$S_D(u, v) = \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \left(\frac{u}{a}\right) \left(\frac{v}{c}\right) \times (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)+\beta(d)\beta(a)+\beta(a)\beta(c)}.$$

Formula (7) gives

$$2S_D(u, v) = \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \left(\frac{u}{a}\right) \left(\frac{v}{c}\right) \times \left(\left(\frac{-1}{a}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{c}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right) - \left(\frac{-1}{acd}\right) \right). \tag{10}$$

Similarly, we permute b and c , then use the quadratic reciprocity law (8) and formula (7) to get

$$2S_D(u, v) = \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \left(\frac{u}{d}\right) \left(\frac{v}{b}\right) \times \left(\left(\frac{-1}{b}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{c}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right) - \left(\frac{-1}{bcd}\right) \right). \tag{11}$$

Finally, we permute (a, b) and (b, c) , apply twice the quadratic reciprocity law (8) to get

$$2S_D(u, v) = \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \left(\frac{u}{a}\right) \left(\frac{v}{b}\right) \times (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)+\beta(b)\beta(a)+\beta(a)\beta(d)+\beta(b)\beta(c)}.$$

Since $\beta(c)\beta(d) + \beta(b)\beta(a) + \beta(a)\beta(d) + \beta(b)\beta(c) = \beta(ac)\beta(bd)$, using formula (7) with $z = 0$ we get

$$2S_D(u, v) = \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \left(\frac{u}{a}\right) \left(\frac{v}{b}\right) \times \left(1 + \left(\frac{-1}{ac}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{bd}\right) - \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right) \right). \tag{12}$$

We obtain the result by adding twice (9) with the sum of (10)–(12). □

When two expressions are equivalent under the action of the symmetry group, we get an identity. We give two such formulas in the next two corollaries.

Corollary 3. *If $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then $S_D(-1, 1) = S_D(1, 1)$.*

Proof. For any D , we obtain from Proposition 2 the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 8(S_D(1, 1) - S_D(-1, 1)) &= \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right)\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{-1}{b}\right)\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{-1}{c}\right)\right). \quad (13)
 \end{aligned}$$

This gives the result since $\left(\frac{-1}{D}\right) = 1$ if $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. □

Corollary 4. *If $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $S_D(1, 1) = 2S_D(-1, 1)$.*

Proof. For any D , Proposition 2 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 8S_D(1, -1) &= \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \left[2 + \left(\frac{-1}{b}\right) + 2\left(\frac{-1}{c}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)\right. \\
 &\quad \left.+ \left(\frac{-1}{ac}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{bd}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{bc}\right) - \left(\frac{-1}{ad}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{abc}\right) - \left(\frac{-1}{acd}\right)\right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By (13), we deduce for any D the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
 &-8(S_D(1, 1) - S_D(-1, 1) - S_D(1, -1)) \\
 &= \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \left[1 + \left(\frac{-1}{c}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{ac}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{bd}\right)\right. \\
 &\quad \left.+ \left(\frac{-1}{abc}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{abd}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right)\right].
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &-8(S_D(1, 1) - S_D(-1, 1) - S_D(1, -1)) \\
 &= \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(1 + \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right)\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{-1}{c}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{ac}\right)\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof since $\left(\frac{-1}{D}\right) = -1$ if $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. □

Finally, after having dealt with equalities, we shall need the following inequalities.

Lemma 5. *For any D , for any u coprime with D , the following inequalities*

$$S_D(u, 1) \leq S_D(-u, 1) + S_D(u, -1) \leq 2S_D(u, 1)$$

hold.

Proof. We prove first the inequality

$$S_D(-u, 1) + S_D(u, -1) \leq 2S_D(u, 1). \tag{14}$$

With Proposition 2, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & 8(S_D(-u, 1) + S_D(u, -1)) \\ &= \sum_{abcd=D} (-1)^{\beta(c)\beta(d)} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right) \left(\frac{b}{c}\right) \\ & \quad \times \left[2\left(\frac{u}{d}\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{-1}{c}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{bd}\right)\right) \right. \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{u}{a}\right) \left(2 + \left(\frac{-1}{a}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{b}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{c}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right) + 2\left(\frac{-1}{ac}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \left(\frac{-1}{abd}\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{abc}\right) - \left(\frac{-1}{acd}\right) - \left(\frac{-1}{bcd}\right)\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\left(\frac{-1}{xyz}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right) \left(\frac{-1}{t}\right) \tag{15}$$

for any $\{x, y, z, t\} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ together with (9) and Lemma 1 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & 8(S_D(-u, 1) + S_D(u, -1)) \\ &= 2(S_D(u, 1) + S_D(u, -1) + S_D(-u, 1)) \\ & \quad + 2(\sigma_D(-1, 1, -u, 1) + \sigma_D(1, u, 1, 1) + \sigma_D(1, -u, 1, -1)) \\ & \quad + \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right)\right) (\sigma_D(1, -u, 1, 1) + \sigma_D(-1, u, 1, 1)) \\ & \quad + \left(1 + \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right)\right) (\sigma_D(1, u, 1, -1) + \sigma_D(1, u, -1, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right)$ and $1 + \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right)$ are nonnegative, the upper bound (6) gives

$$8(S_D(-u, 1) + S_D(u, -1)) \leq 4(2S_D(u, 1) + S_D(u, -1) + S_D(-u, 1))$$

hence (14). We prove next the inequality

$$S_D(u, 1) \leq S_D(-u, 1) + S_D(u, -1). \tag{16}$$

As for (14), we use Eq. (15), Proposition 2, Eq. (9) and Lemma 1 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 8S_D(u, 1) &= 2S_D(u, 1) + S_D(u, -1) + S_D(-u, 1) \\
 &\quad + \sigma_D(1, -u, 1, 1) + \sigma_D(1, u, 1, -1) + \sigma_D(1, u, -1, 1) + \sigma_D(-1, 1, u, 1) \\
 &\quad + \left(1 + \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right)\right) \sigma_D(1, -u, 1, -1) + \left(1 - \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right)\right) \sigma_D(1, u, 1, 1) \\
 &\quad - \left(\frac{-1}{D}\right) (\sigma_D(-1, u, 1, 1) + \sigma_D(1, -1, u, 1)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then (6) leads to

$$8S_D(u, 1) \leq 4(S_D(u, 1) + S_D(u, -1) + S_D(-u, 1))$$

hence (16). □

3. An Affine Interpretation

We write $p_1 < \dots < p_{\omega(D)}$ for the prime divisors of D and define a bijection between the set of divisors a of D and the set of sequences $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \omega(D)}$ in $\mathbb{F}_2^{\omega(D)}$ by

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p_i \mid a, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let a and b satisfy $D = ab$ and u and v two integers coprime with D . We extend the notation of the previous section writing

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = (-1)^{\alpha(a,b)} = (-1)^{\beta_a(b)}$$

with $\alpha(a, b) = \beta_a(b) \in \mathbb{F}_2$. The condition that vb is a square modulo a is equivalent to $\left(\frac{vb}{p}\right) = 1$ for any prime divisor p of a , that is

$$\left(\frac{v}{p_i}\right) \prod_{j: x_j=0} \left(\frac{p_j}{p_i}\right) = 1$$

for any i such that $x_i = 1$. With our notation, this gives

$$\forall i, \quad x_i = 1 \Rightarrow (-1)^{\beta_v(p_i)} (-1)^{\sum_{j: x_j=0} \alpha(p_j, p_i)} = 1.$$

We rewrite it

$$\forall i, \quad x_i = 1 \Rightarrow (-1)^{\beta_v(p_i)} (-1)^{\sum_{j \neq i} (1-x_j) \alpha(p_j, p_i)} = 1$$

and so

$$\forall i, \quad x_i \beta_v(p_i) + \sum_{j \neq i} x_j (1-x_j) \alpha(p_j, p_i) = 0. \tag{17}$$

Similarly, the condition that ua is a square modulo b is equivalent to

$$\forall i, \quad (1-x_i) \beta_u(p_i) + \sum_{j \neq i} (1-x_i) x_j \alpha(p_j, p_i) = 0. \tag{18}$$

Since x_i is either 0 or 1, Eqs. (17) and (18) are equivalent to their sum. We deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *The cardinality $\mathcal{E}_D(u, v)$ is the cardinality of the affine space $\mathcal{F}_D(u, v)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2^{\omega(D)}$ of equations*

$$\left(\beta_u(p_i) + \beta_v(p_i) + \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha(p_j, p_i) \right) x_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha(p_j, p_i) x_j = \beta_u(p_i)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \omega(D)\}$.

Remark. In particular, Lemma 6 shows that $\mathcal{E}_D(u, v)$ if not zero is a power of 2, the power being the dimension of the direction of $\mathcal{F}_D(u, v)$. This is not *a priori* obvious.

Remark. This interpretation slightly differs from the one found by Redei [5, 8]. The matrix with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_2 associated to our affine space is $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \omega(D)}$ with

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \alpha(p_j, p_i) & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ \beta_u(p_i) + \beta_v(p_i) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \alpha(p_\ell, p_i) & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases}$$

whereas the matrix considered by Redei is $(\tilde{a}_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \omega(D)}$ with

$$\tilde{a}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \alpha(p_j, p_i) & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ \omega(D) + 1 + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \alpha(p_\ell, p_i) & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 7. *For any D , we have $S_D(1, 1) \neq 0$ and, either $S_D(2, 2) = 0$ or $S_D(2, 2) = S_D(1, 1)$.*

Proof. The affine space $\mathcal{F}_D(2, 2)$ has equations

$$\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha(p_j, p_i) \right) x_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha(p_j, p_i) x_j = \beta_2(p_i)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \omega(D)\}$. The affine space $\mathcal{F}_D(1, 1)$ has equations

$$\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha(p_j, p_i) \right) x_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha(p_j, p_i) x_j = 0$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \omega(D)\}$. Hence, both spaces have the same direction, and same dimension. The space $\mathcal{F}_D(1, 1)$ is not empty: it contains $(1, \dots, 1)$. Its cardinality is then $2^{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathcal{F}_D(1, 1)}$. The affine space $\mathcal{F}_D(2, 2)$ might be empty and, if it is not, then

its cardinality is $2^{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathcal{F}_D(2,2)} = 2^{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathcal{F}_D(1,1)}$. It follows that $\mathcal{E}_D(1,1) \neq 0$ and, either $\mathcal{E}_D(2,2) = 0$ or $\mathcal{E}_D(2,2) = \mathcal{E}_D(1,1)$. We finish the proof thanks to (4). \square

Corollary 8. *For any D , we have $S_D(-1,1) \neq 0$ and, either $S_D(-2,2) = 0$ or $S_D(-2,2) = S_D(-1,1)$.*

Proof. Since $\beta_{-2}(p_i) + \beta_2(p_i) = \beta_{-1}(p_i)$, the affine space $\mathcal{F}_D(-2,2)$ has equations

$$\left(\beta_{-1}(p_i) + \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha(p_j, p_i) \right) x_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha(p_j, p_i) x_j = \beta_{-2}(p_i)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \omega(D)\}$. The affine space $\mathcal{F}_D(-1,1)$ has equations

$$\left(\beta_{-1}(p_i) + \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha(p_j, p_i) \right) x_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha(p_j, p_i) x_j = \beta_{-1}(p_i)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \omega(D)\}$. Hence, both spaces have the same direction, and same dimension. The space $\mathcal{F}_D(-1,1)$ is not empty: it contains $(1, \dots, 1)$. It follows that $\mathcal{E}_D(-1,1) \neq 0$ and, either $\mathcal{E}_D(-2,2) = 0$ or $\mathcal{E}_D(-2,2) = \mathcal{E}_D(-1,1)$. We finish the proof thanks to (4). \square

4. Damey–Payan Spiegelungssatz

4.1. Proof of the Spiegelungssatz

We have to prove (1)–(3).

Consider the case $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Recall that $D = d_{\mathbb{K}}$. By (4), Eq. (1) is

$$S_D(-1,1) \leq S_D(1,1) + S_D(2,2) \leq 2S_D(-1,1)$$

for any $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. By Corollary 3, this inequality is equivalent to $S_D(2,2) \leq S_D(1,1)$ and this last inequality is implied by Corollary 7.

Consider the case $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. Recall that $D = d_{\mathbb{K}}/8$. By (4), Eq. (2) is

$$S_D(2,1) \leq S_D(-2,1) + S_D(2,-1) \leq 2S_D(2,1)$$

for any D . This is implied by Lemma 5 with $u = 2$.

Finally, consider the case $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. Recall that $D = d_{\mathbb{K}}/4$. By (4), Eq. (3) is

$$S_D(-1,1) + S_D(-2,2) \leq S_D(1,1) \leq 2S_D(-1,1) + 2S_D(-2,2)$$

for any $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. By Corollary 4, this inequality is equivalent to $S_D(-2,2) \leq S_D(-1,1)$ and this last inequality is implied by Corollary 8.

4.2. Some equality cases

It is clear from our previous computations that

- if $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then

$$\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#) = \begin{cases} \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}) & \text{if } \mathcal{E}_D(2, 2) = 0, \\ \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}) + 1 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

- if $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ then

$$\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#) = \begin{cases} \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}) + 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{E}_D(-2, 2) = 0, \\ \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We do not have such clear criterion in the case $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. The reason is that our study of the cases $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ rests on equalities (Corollaries 3, 4, 7 and 8) whereas, our study of the case $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ rests on inequalities (Lemma 5 and mainly Eq. (6)). We study more explicitly special cases in proving the following proposition due to Uehara [9, Theorem 2] (the case c seems to be new).

Theorem 9. *Let \mathbb{K} be a real quadratic field of discriminant $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ and D be described in Table 1. Suppose that every prime divisors of D is congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{8}$.*

- (a) *If $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#) = \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}) + 1$.*
- (b) *If $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and $D \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#) = \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}) + 1$.*
- (c) *If $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#) = \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K})$.*
- (d) *If $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, then $\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}) = \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#)$.*

Proof. Since every prime divisors of D is congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{8}$, we have $\beta_2(p_i) = 0$ for any i .

- If $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. By Lemma 6, we know that $\mathcal{E}_D(2, 2)$ is the cardinality of an affine space having equations

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha(p_j, p_i)(x_i + x_j) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq \omega(D))$$

hence it is nonzero ($x_i = 1$ for any i gives a solution).

- If $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then

$$2^{\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#) - \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K})} = \frac{2\mathcal{E}_D(2, 1)}{\mathcal{E}_D(-2, 1) + \mathcal{E}_D(-1, 2)}.$$

Since $\beta_{-2}(p_i) = \beta_{-1}(p_i)$ for any i , Lemma 6 shows that $\mathcal{E}_D(-2, 1) = \mathcal{E}_D(-1, 2) = \mathcal{E}_D(-1, 1)$. Lemma 6 also shows that $\mathcal{E}_D(2, 1) = \mathcal{E}_D(1, 1)$, hence

$$2^{\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#) - \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K})} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_D(1, 1)}{\mathcal{E}_D(-1, 1)}.$$

If $D \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, Corollary 4 implies that

$$2^{\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#) - \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K})} = 2$$

whereas, if $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, Corollary 3 implies that

$$2^{\text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#) - \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K})} = 1.$$

- If $d_{\mathbb{K}} \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, then $D \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. By Lemma 6, we know that $\mathcal{E}_D(-2, 2)$ is the cardinality of an affine space having equations

$$\beta_{-1}(p_i)x_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha(p_j, p_i)(x_i + x_j) = \beta_{-1}(p_i) \quad (1 \leq i \leq \omega(D))$$

hence it is nonzero ($x_i = 1$ for any i gives a solution). □

Remark. Probabilistic results have been given by Gerth [6] and, for a more natural probability by Fouvry and Klüners in [4]. Among other results, Fouvry and Klüners prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{X \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\#\{d_{\mathbb{K}} \in \mathcal{D}(X) : \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}^\#) = s \mid \text{Rank}_4(\mathbb{K}) = r\}}{\#\mathcal{D}(X)} \\ = \begin{cases} 1 - 2^{-r-1} & \text{if } r = s, \\ 2^{-r-1} & \text{if } r = s - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is the set of fundamental discriminants in $]0, X]$.

Acknowledgment

This research was partially supported by ANR grant *Modunombres*. We would like to thank Étienne Fouvry for having introduced us to this problem.

References

- [1] P. Damey and J.-J. Payan, Existence et construction des extensions galoisiennes et non-abéliennes de degré 8 d'un corps de caractéristique différente de 2, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **244** (1970) 37–54.
- [2] É. Fouvry and J. Klüners, On the 4-rank of class groups of quadratic number fields, *Invent. Math.* **167**(3) (2007) 455–513.
- [3] —, On the negative Pell equation, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **172**(3) (2010) 2035–2104.
- [4] —, On the Spiegelungssatz for the 4-rank, *Algebra Number Theory* **4**(5) (2010) 493–508.
- [5] F. Gerth, III, The 4-class ranks of quadratic fields, *Invent. Math.* **77**(3) (1984) 489–515.
- [6] —, Comparison of 4-class ranks of certain quadratic fields, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129**(9) (2001) 2547–2552 (electronic).
- [7] H.-W. Leopoldt, Zur Struktur der l -Klassengruppe galoisscher Zahlkörper, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **199** (1958) 165–174.

- [8] L. Redei, Arithmetischer Beweis des Satzes über die Anzahl der durch vier teilbaren Invarianten der absoluten Klassengruppe im quadratischen Zahlkörper, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **171** (1934) 55–60 (in German).
- [9] T. Uehara, On the 4-rank of the narrow ideal class group of a quadratic field, *J. Number Theory* **31**(2) (1989) 167–173.