

# Polynomial decay rate for a wave equation with general acoustic boundary feedback laws

Zainab Abbas, Serge Nicaise

Université de Valenciennes et du Hainaut-Cambresis  
Laboratoire de Mathématiques et leurs Applications de Valenciennes, LAMAV



Fédération de Recherche  
Mathématique  
du Nord-Pas de Calais

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Well Posedness
- 3 Asymptotic stability
- 4 Polynomial Energy decay
- 5 Optimality of the energy decay rate
- 6 Example

# Motivation

Consider the evolution system:

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 \Delta y(x, t) = 0 & , x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ m(x) \delta_{tt}(x, t) + d(x) \delta_t(x, t) + k(x) \delta(x, t) = -\rho y_t(x, t) & , x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = \delta_t(x, t) & , x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \end{cases}$$

$y$  is the velocity potential,

$\delta$  is the normal displacement.

The acoustic boundary condition was first introduced in



J.T. Beale, S.I. Rosencrans

Acoustic boundary conditions.

*Bull. Amer.Math.*, Vol. 80 (1974), pp. 1276-1278.

# Questions

- Existence and Uniqueness of solution in appropriate space.
- Strong stability of the solution.
- Uniform Stability.
- Polynomial Stability.

Non Uniform Stability was proved in



J.T. Beale.

Spectral Properties of an acoustic boundary condition.

*Indiana Univ. Math.J.*, Vol. 25 (1976), pp. 895-917.

# A first stability result

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 \Delta y(x, t) = 0 & , x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ y(x, t) = 0 & , x \in \Gamma_1, t > 0, \\ m(x) \delta_{tt}(x, t) + d(x) \delta_t(x, t) + k(x) \delta(x, t) = -\rho y_t(x, t) & , x \in \Gamma_0, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = \delta_t(x, t) & , x \in \Gamma_0, t > 0, \end{cases}$$

Polynomial stability:

$$E(t) \leq \frac{C}{t} (E(0) + E_1(0)),$$

**Method:** Introduce a Lyapounov functional and multiplier method.  
optimality was not discussed.



J.E. Munoz Rivera, Y. Qin.

Polynomial decay for the energy with an acoustic boundary condition.

*Appl. Math. Lett.*, Vol 16 No. 2 (2003), pp. 249-256.

# An extension in 1-d

Generalization of that system:

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt}(x, t) - y_{xx}(x, t) &= 0, & 0 < x < 1, t > 0, \\ y(0, t) &= 0, & t > 0, \\ y_x(1, t) + (\eta(t), C) &= 0, & t > 0, \\ \eta_t(t) - B\eta(t) - Cy_t(1, t) &= 0, & t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with the following initial conditions:

$$y(x, 0) = y_0(x), y_t(x, 0) = y_1(x), 0 < x < 1, \eta(0) = \eta_0. \quad (2)$$

- $n$  a fixed positive integer and  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is the control variable,
- $C \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\Re(BX, X) \leq 0$ , for all  $X \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with an appropriate i. p.  $(X, Y) = \bar{Y}^\top MX$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Main question: Kind of energy decay of this system?

# The energy space

Consider the energy space

$$\mathcal{H} = V \times L^2(0, 1) \times \mathbb{C}^n,$$

endowed with the inner product

$$((y, z, \eta), (y_1, z_1, \eta_1))_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^1 y_x \bar{y}_{1x} dx + \int_0^1 z \bar{z}_1 dx + (\eta, \eta_1),$$

with

$$V = \{y \in H^1(0, 1) : y(0) = 0\}.$$

# Cauchy problem/Maximal dissipativity

- Cauchy problem:

$$\dot{u} = \mathcal{A}u, \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad (3)$$

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \{(y, z, \eta) \in H^2(0, 1) \cap V \times V \times \mathbb{C}^n : y_x(1) = -(\eta, C)\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ y_{xx} \\ B\eta + Cz(1) \end{pmatrix}, \forall \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} \in D(\mathcal{A}).$$

- $\mathcal{A}$  is m-dissipative, i.e.,  $\lambda I - \mathcal{A}$  is surjective for some  $\lambda > 0$  and

$$\Re(\mathcal{A}U, U) = \Re(B\eta, \eta) \leq 0,$$

using Lumer-Phillips' thm,  $\mathcal{A}$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contraction.

- $\mathcal{A}$  has a compact resolvent.



# Eigenvalue problem

$\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$  iff  $\lambda$  satisfies the characteristic equation :

$$C_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I - B & -C \sinh \lambda \\ C^* M & \cosh \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Note that each  $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \sigma(B)$  satisfies:

$$\cosh \lambda + \left( (\lambda I - B)^{-1} C, C \right) \sinh \lambda = 0, \quad (4)$$

with the associated eigenvector

$$\alpha(\sinh(\lambda x), \lambda \sinh(\lambda x), (\lambda I - B)^{-1} C \lambda \sinh \lambda).$$

Let  $B^*$  be the adjoint matrix of  $B$  with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , write

$$B = B_0 + R$$

- $B_0 = \frac{B+B^*}{2}$  skew-adjoint.
- $R = \frac{B-B^*}{2}$  self-adjoint.

Define

- $A \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ y_{xx} \\ B_0\eta + Cz(1) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} \in D(A) = D(\mathcal{A}).$
- $P : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow W$ : the projection map from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  onto  $W = (\ker R)^\perp$ .

Remarks:

$$(-R\kappa, \kappa)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim \|P\kappa\|, \forall \kappa \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = (R\eta, \eta) \sim -\|P\eta\|^2.$$

Let  $B^*$  be the adjoint matrix of  $B$  with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , write

$$B = B_0 + R$$

- $B_0 = \frac{B+B^*}{2}$  skew-adjoint.
- $R = \frac{B-B^*}{2}$  self-adjoint.

Define

- $A \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ y_{xx} \\ B_0\eta + Cz(1) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} \in D(A) = D(\mathcal{A}).$
- $P : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow W$ : the projection map from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  onto  $W = (\ker R)^\perp$ .

Remarks:

$$(-R\kappa, \kappa)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim \|P\kappa\|, \forall \kappa \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = (R\eta, \eta) \sim -\|P\eta\|^2.$$

# Asymptotic+Non Exp.stability of $\mathcal{A}$

Suppose

- (A<sub>1</sub>)  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap \sigma(B_0) \subset \{ik\pi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\},$
- (A<sub>2</sub>) The eigenvector  $\nu_k$  of  $B_0$  associated with  $ik\pi \in \sigma(B_0)$  satisfies  $P\nu_k \neq 0,$
- (A<sub>3</sub>)  $P((i\mu I - B_0)^{-1}C) \neq 0, \forall i\mu \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \sigma(B_0).$

then

- $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \emptyset,$  hence  $\mathcal{A}$  is **asymptotically stable**.
- $P\eta_\mu \neq 0,$  for all  $i\mu \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}).$

## Proposition (Prop 1)

*The system (3) is not uniformly stable in the energy space  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

**Proof.**  $(\mathcal{A} - A)(y, z, \eta)^\top = (0, 0, R\eta)^\top$  is a compact perturbation of a generator of a conservative problem (cfr. [Russell, 75]).

# Decomposition of solution

- Let  $u$  be a solution of the original system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}u(t) &= \mathcal{A}u(t), & t > 0, \\ u(0) &= u_0, \end{cases}$$

- Let  $u_1$  be the solution of the conservative problem,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}u_1(t) &= \mathcal{A}u_1(t), & t > 0, \\ u_1(0) &= u_0. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

- $u_2 = u - u_1$  fulfills

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}u_2(t) &= \mathcal{A}u_2(t) + f(t), & t > 0, \\ u_2(0) &= 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $f = (0, 0, R\eta)$  with  $\eta$  the last component of  $u$ .

# Decomposition of solution

- Let  $u$  be a solution of the original system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}u(t) &= \mathcal{A}u(t), & t > 0, \\ u(0) &= u_0, \end{cases}$$

- Let  $u_1$  be the solution of the conservative problem,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}u_1(t) &= \mathcal{A}u_1(t), & t > 0, \\ u_1(0) &= u_0. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

- $u_2 = u - u_1$  fulfills

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}u_2(t) &= \mathcal{A}u_2(t) + f(t), & t > 0, \\ u_2(0) &= 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $f = (0, 0, R\eta)$  with  $\eta$  the last component of  $u$ .

# Decomposition of solution

- Let  $u$  be a solution of the original system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}u(t) &= \mathcal{A}u(t), & t > 0, \\ u(0) &= u_0, \end{cases}$$

- Let  $u_1$  be the solution of the conservative problem,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}u_1(t) &= Au_1(t), & t > 0, \\ u_1(0) &= u_0. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

- $u_2 = u - u_1$  fulfills

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}u_2(t) &= Au_2(t) + f(t), & t > 0, \\ u_2(0) &= 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $f = (0, 0, R\eta)$  with  $\eta$  the last component of  $u$ .

# An a priori estimate+observability inequality

The proof of our polynomial stability result is based on the following a priori estimate:

## Proposition (Prop 2)

*For all  $T > 0$ , there exists  $c > 0$  depending on  $T$  such that*

$$\int_0^T (-R\eta_1(t), \eta_1(t)) dt \leq c \int_0^T (-R\eta(t), \eta(t)) dt. \quad (6)$$

and on the observability inequality:

## Proposition (Prop 3)

*There exists  $m \in \mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $T > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|u_0\|_{D(A^{-(m+1)})}^2 \leq C \int_0^T (-R\eta_1(t), \eta_1(t)) dt \leq c \int_0^T (-R\eta(t), \eta(t)) dt. \quad (7)$$



These two propositions  $\Rightarrow$

$$E(0) - E(T) = \int_0^T (-R\eta(t), \eta(t)) dt \geq c \|u_0\|_{D(A^{-(m+1)})}^2.$$

We will see later on that this implies that

$$E(t) \leq \frac{M}{(1+t)^{\frac{1}{m+1}}} \|u_0\|_{D(\mathcal{A})}^2.$$

# Proof of the a priori estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 (u_2(t), u_2(t))_{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_0^t \left( e^{A(t-s)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ R\eta(s) \end{pmatrix}, u_2(t) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\
 &= \int_0^t \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ R\eta(s) \end{pmatrix}, e^{-A(t-s)} u_2(t) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\
 &= \int_0^t (R\eta(s), p_3(e^{-A(t-s)} u_2(t))) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &\lesssim \left( \int_0^t (-R\eta(s), \eta(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^t \|p_3(e^{-A(t-s)} u_2(t))\|_{\mathbb{C}^n}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\lesssim \left( \int_0^t (-R\eta(s), \eta(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^t \|u_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of the a priori estimate ctd

Hence integrating this estimate between 0 and  $T$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T (-R\eta_2(t), \eta_2(t)) dt &\lesssim \int_0^T \|u_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 dt \\ &\lesssim \int_0^T (-R\eta(s), \eta(s)) ds.\end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows by noticing that  $\eta_1 = \eta - \eta_2$ .

# Asymptotic behavior of the spectrum of $A$

As  $A$  is skew-adjoint, all the eigenvalues of  $A$  are purely imaginary. Denote by  $\lambda = i\mu$  the eigenvalues of  $A$  and by  $\phi_\mu = (y_\mu, z_\mu, \eta_\mu)$  the associated eigenvectors. An associated eigenvector with  $\lambda = i\mu$ , where  $|\mu| > \|B_0\|$ , is given by

$$\phi_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(\mu)}} (i \sin(\mu x), -\mu \sin(\mu x), -(i\mu I - B_0)^{-1} C \mu \sin \mu).$$

Assume that there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P(B_0^p C) \neq 0$ . Let

$$m = \min\{p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : P(B_0^p C) \neq 0\}. \quad (8)$$

The expansions of  $\mu_k$  (with  $\mu_k \in (k\pi, (k+1)\pi)$ ) and  $P\eta_{\mu_k}$  are

$$\mu_k = k\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\|C\|^2}{k\pi} - \frac{\|C\|^2}{2k^2\pi} + \frac{(B_0 C, C)}{ik^2\pi^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right),$$

$$P\eta_{\mu_k} = (-1)^k \frac{1}{i^{m-1}} \left( \frac{P(B_0^m C)}{k^{m+1}\pi^{m+1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{k^{m+1}}\right) \right).$$

# An Observability Inequality

Now we suppose that

all  $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B_0) \subset \{in\pi : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  are simple eigenvalues of  $B_0$ . (9)

This implies that  $A$  has simple eigenvalues  $(i\mu_n)_{n \in I}$  with the gap condition

$$\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n \geq \gamma_0 > 0, \forall n \in I.$$

## Proposition

*Assume  $(A_2)$ , (8) and (9) and let  $u_1 = (y_1, z_1, \eta_1)^T$  be the solution of the conservative problem (5) with initial datum  $u_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$ . Then there exist  $T > 0$  and  $c > 0$  depending on  $T$  such that*

$$\int_0^T \|P_{\eta_1}(t)\|^2 dt \geq c \|u_0\|_{D(A^{-(m+1)})}^2. \quad (10)$$

# Proof

Since the sequence  $(\phi_{0,n})_{n \in I}$  of eigenvectors of  $A$  is a Hilbert basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ , if we write  $u_0 = \sum_{n \in I} u_0^{(n)} \phi_{0,n}$ , then  $(\phi_{0,n} = (y_1^{(n)}, z_1^{(n)}, \eta_1^{(n)}))$

$$u_1(t) = \sum_{n \in I} u_0^{(n)} e^{i\mu_n t} \phi_{0,n} \Rightarrow P_{\eta_1}(t) = \sum_{n \in I} u_0^{(n)} e^{i\mu_n t} P_{\eta_1}^{(n)}.$$

$$\text{(Ingham's inequality)} \Rightarrow \int_0^T \|P_{\eta_1}(t)\|^2 dt \geq c \sum_{n \in I} |u_0^{(n)}|^2 \|P_{\eta_1}^{(n)}\|^2.$$

As for  $|n|$  large enough

$$P_{\eta_1}^{(n)} = (-1)^n \frac{1}{i^{m-1}} \left( \frac{P(B_0^m C)}{k_n^{m+1} \pi^{m+1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{k_n^{m+1}}\right) \right), \text{ with } k_n \sim \mu_n,$$

$(A_2)$  and (9)  $\Rightarrow$

$$\int_0^T \|P_{\eta_1}\|^2 dt \gtrsim \sum_{n \in I} |u_0^{(n)}|^2 |\mu_n|^{-2(m+1)} \sim \|u_0\|_{D(A^{-(m+1)})}^2.$$

# The polynomial stability

## Theorem

*Let  $u$  be a solution of problem (3) with initial datum  $u_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$ . Let the assumptions  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$ ,  $(A_3)$  be satisfied. Assume moreover (9) and the existence of  $m$  as defined by equation (8), then we obtain the following polynomial energy decay:*

$$E(t) \leq \frac{M}{(1+t)^{\frac{1}{m+1}}} \|u_0\|_{D(\mathcal{A})}^2,$$

*for some  $M > 0$ .*

# Polynomial stability

**Proof.** Set  $E_1(0) = \frac{1}{2} (\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|Au_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2)$ . Prop. 3  $\Rightarrow$

$$E(T) - E(0) = \int_0^T (R\eta, \eta) dt \leq -K \|u_0\|_{D(A^{-(m+1)})}^2.$$

Using  $\|u_0\|_{D(A^{-(m+1)})}^2 \geq \frac{\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2(m+2)}}{\|u_0\|_{D(A)}^{2(m+1)}} \geq \frac{E(0)^{m+2}}{E_1(0)^{m+1}}$ , we get

$$E((k+1)T) \leq E(kT) - K \frac{E((k+1)T)^{m+2}}{E_1(kT)^{m+1}},$$

dividing by  $E_1(0)$ ,

$$\varepsilon_{k+1} \leq \varepsilon_k - C \varepsilon_{k+1}^{m+2}, \forall k \geq 0,$$

with  $\varepsilon_k = \frac{E(kT)}{E_1(0)}$ . Hence (due to [\[Jaffard, Tucsnak and Zuazua, 98\]](#))

$$\varepsilon_k \leq \frac{M}{(1+k)^{\frac{1}{m+1}}}, \forall k \geq 0.$$



# Spectral analysis of $\mathcal{A}$

To obtain optimality, we need

1. the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{A}$  near the imaginary axis,
2. the Riesz basis property of the set of generalized eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
  - **Rouché's Thm**  $\Rightarrow$  The number of eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{A}$  counted with multiplicities is equal to that of  $A$  in the square  $C_n = [-n\pi, n\pi] \times [-n\pi, n\pi]$ , for  $n$  large enough.
  - All the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{A}$  have finite algebraic multiplicities. Moreover, the eigenvalues with large enough moduli are algebraically simple.

## Proposition (Prop 4)

*Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $|\lambda|$  large enough. Then  $\lambda$  satisfies the following expansion for some  $k$  large enough,*

$$\lambda = i \left( k\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\|C\|^2}{k\pi} - \frac{\|C\|^2}{2k^2\pi} + \frac{(B_0 C, C)}{ik^2\pi^2} \right) + \frac{(RC, C)}{k^2\pi^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right).$$

# Riesz basis property

## Proposition (Prop 5)

*Assume that (9) holds and*

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap \sigma(B) = \emptyset, \quad (12)$$

*then the system of generalized eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{A}$  forms a Riesz basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

The proof is based on **Bari's thm.**

# Optimality of the energy decay rate

Define

$$\omega(u_0) = \sup\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ : E(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{t^\alpha}, \forall t > 1\}.$$

Prop. 4 and 5 + [Littman and Markus, 88]  $\Rightarrow$

## Proposition

*Under the assumptions of Prop. 5, if  $\Re(\lambda_k) \sim -\frac{1}{k^\delta}$ , with  $\delta \geq 2(m+1)$ , then*

$$\inf_{u_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})} \omega(u_0) = \frac{1}{m+1}.$$

## Corollary

- *If  $m = 0$ , we obtain optimal polynomial energy decay given by  $E(t) \leq \frac{c}{t} \|\mathcal{A}u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ .*
- *If  $m = 1$ ,  $(RC, C) = 0$ , and  $\Im(B^2C, C) = 0$  then the polynomial energy decay rate is optimal.*

# An example: the acoustic bc

Consider

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt}(x, t) - y_{xx}(x, t) & = 0, & 0 < x < 1, t > 0, \\ y(0, t) & = 0, & t > 0, \\ y_x(1, t) + \delta_t(t) & = 0, & t > 0, \\ \delta_{tt}(t) + b_1 \delta_t(t) + b_0 \delta(t) - y_t(1, t) & = 0, & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

$$n = 2, \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -b_0 & -b_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Example

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \right)_{\mathbb{C}^2} = b_0 x \bar{x}_1 + y \bar{y}_1, \text{ or } M = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ b_0 & -b_1 \end{pmatrix}, B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -b_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -b_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Example

- If  $\mu^2 = b_0 \neq k^2\pi^2$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$C \notin \ker(i\mu I - B_0)^\perp.$$

Indeed, computing

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i\mu \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = i\mu \neq 0,$$

thus  $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B_0) = \emptyset$ .

- If  $b_0 = k^2\pi^2$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , then  $\sigma(B_0) = \{\pm ik\pi\}$ . Computing the associated eigenvectors we get

$$\eta_{\pm k\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm ik\pi \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } P(\eta_{\pm k\pi}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \pm ik\pi \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

# Example

- Since  $PC \neq 0$ , we can choose  $m = 0$ , and the system satisfies the following **optimal** polynomial decay

$$E(t) \leq \frac{C}{1+t} \|u_0\|_{D(\mathcal{A})}^2.$$

- Suppose that for the same  $B$  we choose the system with  $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , then we obtain  $\frac{1}{2}$  as an optimal polynomial decay rate.

# For Further Reading



J.T. Beale.

Spectral Properties of an acoustic boundary condition.

*Indiana Univ. Math.J.*, Vol. 25 (1976), pp. 895-917.



J.E. Munoz Rivera ,Y. Qin.

Polynomial decay for the energy with an acoustic boundary condition.

*Appl. Math.Lett.*, Vol 16 No. 2 (2003), pp. 249-256.



Z. Abbas ,S. Nicaise.

Polynomial decay rate for a wave equation with general acoustic boundary feedback laws.

*SēMA (Soc.Esp.Mat.Apl.)*, Vol 61 No.1 (2013), pp. 19-47.



**Thank you for your attention.**