

Observability inequalities for elliptic equations in 2-d

Conférence : Contrôle, Problèmes inverses et Applications

Kévin Le Balc'h

INRIA Paris, Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions

October 4th 2021

Plan

1 Introduction and several motivations

- Null-controllability of linear parabolic equations
- Null-controllability of semilinear parabolic equations
- Optimality of observability inequalities
- Landis conjecture

2 Observability inequalities for elliptic equations in 2-d and applications to control

- Main results
- Proof of the observability inequality

3 Conclusion

Table of Contents

1 Introduction and several motivations

- Null-controllability of linear parabolic equations
- Null-controllability of semilinear parabolic equations
- Optimality of observability inequalities
- Landis conjecture

2 Observability inequalities for elliptic equations in 2-d and applications to control

- Main results
- Proof of the observability inequality

3 Conclusion

Introduction to controllability

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = f(y(t), h(t)), \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$

$y(t) \in \mathcal{Y}$: **the state**, $h(t) \in \mathcal{H}$: **the control**.

Controllability

$T > 0$, $y_0, y_f \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Does there exist $h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $\begin{cases} y' = f(y, h), \\ y(0) = y_0, \end{cases} \implies y(T) = y_f$?

- **small-time controllability** : $T \ll 1$,
- **large-time controllability** : $T \gg 1$,
- **global controllability** : $\forall y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}$,
- **local controllability** : $\forall y_0$ closed to y_f ,
- **null-controllability** : $y_f = 0$.

Heat equation

$T > 0$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $\omega \subset \Omega$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y - \Delta y = h1_\omega & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ y(0, \cdot) = y_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{Heat})$$

In (Heat), $y(t, \cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the state and $h(t, \cdot) : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the control.

Modelling:

- Ω is a room,
- $y(t, x)$: temperature at time $t \in (0, T)$, at point $x \in \Omega$,
- $h(t, x)$: action of a heater/cooler localized in ω .

Goal: Drive the temperature y to a prescribed target in time T , by using the heater/cooler h , localized in ω .

Small-time null-controllability

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y - \Delta y = h1_\omega & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ y(0, \cdot) = y_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{Heat})$$

Theorem (Lebeau, Robbiano - Fursikov, Imanuvilov (1995-1996))

(Heat) is **small-time (globally) null-controllable**, i.e.

$\forall T > 0, \forall y_0 \in L^2(\Omega), \exists h \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\omega))$ such that $y(T, \cdot) = 0$.

- heat equation \Rightarrow regularizing effects \Rightarrow exact controllability cannot hold.
- heat equation \Rightarrow infinite speed of propagation \Rightarrow small-time controllability.

Fattorini, Russell (1971): 1D.

Hilbert Uniqueness Method

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y - \Delta y = h 1_\omega & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ y(0, \cdot) = y_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} -\partial_t \varphi - \Delta \varphi = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ \varphi(T, \cdot) = \varphi_T & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Proposition (H.U.M.)

The heat equation is null-controllable in time $T > 0$ iff there exists $C_T > 0$

$$\|\varphi(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C_T \left(\int_0^T \int_\omega \varphi^2 dx dt \right), \quad \forall \varphi_T \in L^2(\Omega). \quad (\text{Observability})$$

Moreover, if such a $C_T > 0$ exists, then $\forall y_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, there exists $h \in L^2(q_T)$

$$\|h\|_{L^2(q_T)} \leq C_T \|y_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (\text{Cost})$$

such that the solution y of (Heat) satisfies $y(T, \cdot) = 0$.

Carleman estimate

Let $\omega_0 \subset\subset \omega$ a nonempty open set.

$\exists \eta^0 \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $\eta^0 > 0$ in Ω , $\eta^0 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, and $|\nabla\eta^0| > 0$ in $\overline{\Omega \setminus \omega_0}$.

$$\xi(t, x) := e^{\lambda\eta_0(x)} t^{-1} (T - t)^{-1}.$$

Theorem (Fursikov, Imanuvilov (1995-1996))

There exist $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\Omega, \omega) \geq 1$, $s_1 = C(\Omega, \omega)(T + T^2)$, $C_1 = C_1(\Omega, \omega)$ such that for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, $s \geq s_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^4 \int_{Q_T} e^{-2s\xi} (s\xi)^3 |\varphi|^2 dt dx \\ & \leq C_1 \left(\int_{Q_T} e^{-2s\xi} |\partial_t \varphi + \Delta \varphi|^2 dt dx + \lambda^4 \int_{(0, T) \times \omega} e^{-2s\xi} (s\xi)^3 |\varphi|^2 dt dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{Q_T})$ with $\varphi = 0$ on Σ_T .

The parameters λ and s play an important role:

- crucial in the proof of the Carleman estimate,
- useful when considering more general parabolic equations.

Proof of the observability estimate

Carleman estimate applied to $-\partial_t \varphi - \Delta \varphi = 0$:

$$\int_{Q_T} t^{-3}(T-t)^{-3} e^{-2s\xi} |\varphi|^2 dx dt \leq C_1 \int_{(0,T) \times \omega} t^{-3}(T-t)^{-3} e^{-2s\xi} |\varphi|^2 dx dt. \quad (1)$$

We have

$$t^{-3}(T-t)^{-3} e^{-2s\xi} \geq CT^{-6} e^{-C(\Omega, \omega)(1+\frac{1}{T})} \text{ in } (T/4, 3T/4) \times \Omega, \quad (2)$$

$$t^{-3}(T-t)^{-3} e^{-2s\xi} \leq C(\Omega, \omega) T^{-6} \text{ in } (0, T) \times \omega. \quad (3)$$

By (1), (2) and (3), we get

$$\int_{(T/4, 3T/4) \times \Omega} |\varphi|^2 dx dt \leq e^{C(\Omega, \omega)(1+\frac{1}{T})} \int_{(0, T) \times \omega} |\varphi|^2 dx dt. \quad (4)$$

Dissipativity in time of the L^2 -norm:

$$\|\varphi(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{2}{T} \int_{T/4}^{3T/4} \|\varphi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} dt. \quad (5)$$

By (4) and (5), we get

$$\|\varphi(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq e^{C(\Omega, \omega)(1+\frac{1}{T})} \|\varphi\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \omega)}. \quad (\text{Observability})$$

Parabolic equations

Let $a \in L^\infty(Q_T)$ and consider

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y - \Delta y + a(t, x)y = h1_\omega & \text{in } Q_T, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ y(0, \cdot) = y_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{Parabolic})$$

Theorem (Fernandez-Cara, Zuazua (2000))

(Parabolic) is **small-time globally null-controllable**, i.e.

$$\forall T > 0, \forall y_0 \in L^2(\Omega), \exists h \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\omega)) \text{ such that } y(T, \cdot) = 0.$$

Moreover, $h \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\omega))$ can be chosen such that

$$\|h\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \leq C_T \|y_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (\text{Cost})$$

with

$$C_T = \exp \left(C(\Omega, \omega) \left(1 + \frac{1}{T} + \|a\|_\infty^{2/3} + T \|a\|_\infty \right) \right).$$

Observability estimate for the parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t \varphi - \Delta \varphi + a(t, x) \varphi = 0 & \text{in } Q_T, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ \varphi(T, \cdot) = \varphi_T & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{Adjoint})$$

Carleman estimate applied to $-\partial_t \varphi - \Delta \varphi + a \varphi = 0$:

$$\lambda^4 \int_{Q_T} e^{-2s\xi} (s\xi)^3 |\varphi|^2 dt dx \leq C \left(\int_{Q_T} e^{-2s\xi} |a\varphi|^2 dt dx + \lambda^4 \int_{(0,T) \times \omega} e^{-2s\xi} (s\xi)^3 |\varphi|^2 dt dx \right).$$

Take $\lambda = \lambda_1$ and $s \geq C(\Omega, \omega) T^2 \|a\|_\infty^{2/3}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^4 \int_{Q_T} e^{-2s\xi} (s\xi)^3 |\varphi|^2 dt dx &\leq C \lambda^4 \int_{(0,T) \times \omega} e^{-2s\xi} (s\xi)^3 |\varphi|^2 dt dx. \\ \Rightarrow \int_{(T/4, 3T/4) \times \Omega} |\varphi|^2 dx dt &\leq e^{C(\Omega, \omega) \left(1 + \frac{1}{T} + \|a\|_\infty^{2/3}\right)} \int_{(0,T) \times \omega} |\varphi|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Dissipativity in time of the L^2 -norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq \exp(C T (\|a\|_\infty)) \frac{2}{T} \int_{T/4}^{3T/4} \|\varphi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} dt. \\ \Rightarrow \|\varphi(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq e^{C(\Omega, \omega) \left(1 + \frac{1}{T} + \|a\|_\infty^{2/3} + T \|a\|_\infty\right)} \|\varphi\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \omega)}. \quad (\text{Observability}) \end{aligned}$$

Table of Contents

1 Introduction and several motivations

- Null-controllability of linear parabolic equations
- **Null-controllability of semilinear parabolic equations**
- Optimality of observability inequalities
- Landis conjecture

2 Observability inequalities for elliptic equations in 2-d and applications to control

- Main results
- Proof of the observability inequality

3 Conclusion

Semilinear parabolic equations

Take $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and consider

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y - \Delta y + f(y) = h1_\omega & \text{in } Q_T, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ y(0, \cdot) = y_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{HeatSL})$$

$f(0) = 0 \Rightarrow 0$ is a stationary state.

In particular if $y(T, \cdot) = 0$, then by setting $h \equiv 0$ for $t \geq T$ then $y \equiv 0$ for $t \geq T$.

Goal/Question: Null-controllability of the semilinear equation (HeatSL)?

Small-time local null-controllability

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y - \Delta y + f(y) = h1_\omega & \text{in } Q_T, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ y(0, \cdot) = y_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{HeatSL})$$

Theorem

(HeatSL) is **small-time locally null-controllable**, i.e.

$\forall T > 0, \exists \delta_T > 0 \forall \|y_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta_T, \exists h \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\omega))$ such that $y(T, \cdot) = 0$.

Linear test:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y - \Delta y + f'(0)y = h1_\omega & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ y(0, \cdot) = y_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

globally null-controllable, then (HeatSL) is locally null-controllable.

What about global null-controllability?

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y - \Delta y + f(y) = h1_\omega & \text{in } Q_T, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ y(0, \cdot) = y_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{HeatSL})$$

We will also assume that f satisfies the restrictive growth condition ($\alpha > 0$)

$$\frac{f(s)}{|s| \log^\alpha(1 + |s|)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |s| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Under this assumption, *blow-up* may occur if $h = 0$ in (HeatSL).

Take for example $f(s) = -|s| \log^p(1 + |s|)$ with $p > 1$ (Osgood's condition).

Goal/Question: *Global null-controllability* of (HeatSL)?

Fernandez-Cara, Zuazua's results

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y - \Delta y + f(y) = h \mathbf{1}_\omega & \text{in } Q_T, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ y(0, \cdot) = y_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{HeatSL})$$

Theorem (Fernandez-Cara, Zuazua (2000))

- (Positive result) Assume that $f(s) = o_{+\infty}(|s| \log^{3/2}(1 + |s|))$, then (HeatSL) is **small-time globally null-controllable**, i.e.

$\forall T > 0, \forall y_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega), \exists h \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\omega))$ such that $y(T, \cdot) = 0$.

- (Negative result) Set $f(s) := |s| \log^p(1 + |s|)$ with $p > 2$ and assume that $\Omega \setminus \bar{\omega} \neq \emptyset$, then one **cannot prevent blow-up** in (HeatSL), i.e.

$\forall T > 0, \exists y_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega), \forall h \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\omega)), y$ blows-up in time $T^* < T$.

Proof of the positive result

Linearization: $g(s) := f(s)/s$, take $z \in L^\infty(Q_T)$ and consider

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y - \Delta y + g(z)y = h1_\omega & \text{in } Q_T, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ y(0, \cdot) = y_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{Parabolic})$$

Null-controllability with cost estimate: $\forall \tau > 0, \exists \|h\|_{L^\infty(Q_\tau)} \leq C_\tau \|y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ with $C_\tau := \exp\left(C\left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau} + \|g(z)\|_\infty^{2/3} + \tau \|g(z)\|_\infty\right)\right)$ such that $y(\tau, \cdot) = 0$.

Act in very small-time: $\tau := \min\left(T, \|g(z)\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}^{-1/3}\right) \Rightarrow C_\tau := \exp\left(C\left(\|g(z)\|_\infty^{2/3}\right)\right)$.

Fixed-point argument:

$$\Phi : z \in L^\infty(Q_T) \mapsto \{y \in L^\infty(Q_T) ; \exists \|h\|_{L^\infty(Q_\tau)} \leq C_\tau \|y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, y(\tau, \cdot) = 0\}.$$

If we prove that $\exists y \in \Phi(y)$ then $\partial_t y - \Delta y + f(y) = h1_\omega$ and $y(T, \cdot) = 0$.

Invariant ball: Using $g(s) = o_{+\infty}(\log^{3/2}(|s|))$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \forall z \in B_R, \forall y \in \Phi(z), \|y\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} &\leq \exp\left(\|g(z)\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}^{2/3}\right) \|y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &= o_{+\infty}(\exp(\log(R))) \|y_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq R. \end{aligned}$$

Rmk: Constructive proof in Ervedoza, Lemoine, Münch (2021).

Proof of the negative result

Localized eigenfunction method: Take $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus \bar{\omega})$ such that $\int_\Omega \rho(x) dx = 1$ and multiply $\partial_t y - \Delta y + f(y) = h1_\omega$ by ρ and integrate in Ω ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_\Omega y(t, x) \rho(x) dx \right) = \int_\Omega \Delta y \rho - \int_\Omega f(y) \rho.$$

Setting $u(t) = - \int_\Omega y(t, x) \rho(x) dx$, and integrating by parts

$$\frac{du}{dt} = - \int_\Omega y \Delta \rho + \int_\Omega f(|y|) \rho.$$

By Young's inequality, we have

$$\left| \int_\Omega y \Delta \rho dx \right| \leq \int_\Omega |y| \left| \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho} \right| \rho dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega f(|y|) \rho dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega f^* \left(\frac{2|\Delta \rho|}{\rho} \right) \rho dx$$

So,

$$\frac{du}{dt} \geq -\frac{C}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega f(|y|) \rho dx, \quad C := \int_\Omega f^* \left(\frac{2|\Delta \rho|}{\rho} \right) \rho dx < +\infty \quad (p > 2).$$

Therefore, Jensen's inequality and parity of f lead to

$$\frac{du}{dt} \geq -\frac{C}{2} + \frac{f(u)}{2} \Rightarrow \text{Blow-up.}$$

Open questions

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y - \Delta y + f(y) = h1_\omega & \text{in } Q_T, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ y(0, \cdot) = y_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{HeatSL})$$

Open questions: What happens for $f(s) \approx_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} |s| \log^p(1 + |s|)$, $p \in [3/2, 2]$?

1. Can one prevent the blow-up from happening?
2. (HeatSL) is large-time globally null-controllable?
3. (HeatSL) is small-time globally null-controllable?

Le Balc'h (2020): 2. is true for f semi-dissipative.

Can we improve the cost of null-controllability of $\partial_t y - \Delta y + a(t, x)y = h1_\omega$:

$$\|h\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \leq \exp \left(C(\Omega, \omega) \left(1 + \frac{1}{T} + \|a\|_\infty^{2/3} + T \|a\|_\infty \right) \right) \|y_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}? \quad (\text{Cost})$$

Table of Contents

1 Introduction and several motivations

- Null-controllability of linear parabolic equations
- Null-controllability of semilinear parabolic equations
- **Optimality of observability inequalities**
- Landis conjecture

2 Observability inequalities for elliptic equations in 2-d and applications to control

- Main results
- Proof of the observability inequality

3 Conclusion

Optimal observability inequality for parabolic equations

$$\text{Let } a \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \Omega), \quad \begin{cases} -\partial_t \varphi - \Delta \varphi + a(t, x) \varphi = 0 & \text{in } Q_T, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ \varphi(T, \cdot) = \varphi_T & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Fernández-Cara, Zuazua (2000) proved:

$$\|\varphi(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \left(\int_0^T \int_\omega \varphi^2 dx dt \right) \quad \forall \varphi_T \in L^2(\Omega),$$

where $C = C(\Omega, \omega, T, a) = \exp \left(C(\Omega, \omega) \left(1 + \frac{1}{T} + T \|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|a\|_{L^\infty}^{2/3} \right) \right)$.

Theorem (Duyckaerts, Zhang, Zuazua (2008))

Optimality of $\|a\|_\infty^{2/3}$ for $\varphi_T \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$, $a \in L^\infty(Q_T; \mathbb{C})$.

Le Balc'h (2020): $\|a\|_\infty^{1/2}$ for $\varphi_T \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$, $a \in L^\infty(Q_T; \mathbb{R}^+)$.

Proof of the optimality by Meshkov's function

Meshkov's result: There exist $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{C})$ and $u \neq 0$ such that

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = 0 \text{ and } u(x) \leq \exp(-|x|^{4/3}).$$

Scaling argument: We set $u_R(x) = u(Rx)$ and $a_R(x) = R^2 V(Rx)$, we have

$$-\Delta u_R + a_R(x)u = 0 \text{ and } u_R(x) \leq \exp(-R^{4/3}|x|^{4/3}).$$

Test the observability inequality with $\varphi_R = u_R$: Assume that $d(0, \bar{\omega}) > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_R(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\sim \|u_R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \sim \frac{1}{R^2}, \\ \|\varphi_R\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \omega)} &\leq \exp(-R^{4/3}), \\ \|a_R\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} &\sim R^2. \end{aligned}$$

So for $T \leq \|a_R\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}^{-1/3}$, we get for $c > 0$ sufficiently small

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \left\{ \frac{\|\varphi_R(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}{\exp\left(c \|a_R\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{2/3}\right) \int_0^T \int_\omega |\varphi_R(t, x)|^2 dt dx} \right\} = +\infty.$$

Table of Contents

1 Introduction and several motivations

- Null-controllability of linear parabolic equations
- Null-controllability of semilinear parabolic equations
- Optimality of observability inequalities
- Landis conjecture

2 Observability inequalities for elliptic equations in 2-d and applications to control

- Main results
- Proof of the observability inequality

3 Conclusion

The Landis conjecture on exponential decay

Conjecture (Landis, 1960's)

$$V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ |u(x)| \leq \exp(-|x|^{1+\varepsilon}), \varepsilon > 0, \end{cases} \implies u \equiv 0.$$

Example: $u(x) = \exp(-|x|)$ in $\{|x| > 1\}$, smoothly extended to \mathbb{R}^N .

Proof in 1D (M. Pierre): $-u'' + V(x)u = 0$ in \mathbb{R} , $|u(x)| \leq \exp(-|x|^{1+\varepsilon})$.

By integrating, we easily get $|u'(x)| \leq C \exp(-|x|^{1+\varepsilon})$.

Duality argument: Let ϕ s.t. $-\phi'' + V\phi = \text{sign}(u)$, $\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 0$.

Gronwall's argument: $|\phi(x)| + |\phi'(x)| \leq C \exp(C|x|)$.

$$\int_{-R}^R |u| = \int_{-R}^R u \cdot \text{sign}(u) = \int_{-R}^R u(-\phi'' + V\phi) = [-\phi' u + \phi u]_{-R}^R \leq e^R e^{-R^{1+\varepsilon}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Meshkov's counterexample (1991): $\exists V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C})$ and $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ such that $-\Delta u + V(x)u = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 and $|u(x)| \leq \exp(-|x|^{4/3})$.

Optimality (Meshkov):

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } |u(x)| \leq \exp(-|x|^{4/3+\varepsilon}), \varepsilon > 0 \Rightarrow u \equiv 0.$$

Landis conjecture for real-valued potentials

Open questions (Kenig, Bourgain, 2005):

- Is the (**qualitative**) Landis conjecture true for **real-valued** potentials?

$$V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}), \begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ |u(x)| \leq \exp(-|x|^{1+\varepsilon}), \varepsilon > 0, \end{cases} \implies u \equiv 0.$$

- **Quantitative** Landis conjecture: for $|V| \leq 1$ **real-valued** and $|u| \leq 1$ such that $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$, $|u(0)| = 1$, do we have: $\forall R \gg 1, \forall |x_0| = R$,

$$\sup_{|x-x_0|<1} |u(x)| \geq \exp(-R \log^\alpha(R))?$$

Logunov, Malinnikova, Nadirashvili, Nazarov (2020) in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 .

Conclusion of the first part

In brief, recall the story

- Null-controllability of $\partial_t y - \Delta y + a(t, x)y = h1_\omega$ Cost = $\exp\left(C\left(\|a\|_\infty^{2/3}\right)\right)$.
- Observability of $-\partial_t \varphi - \Delta \varphi + a\varphi = 0$, $|\varphi(0)|_{L^2} \leq \exp\left(C\left(\|a\|_\infty^{2/3}\right)\right) |\varphi|_{L^2(Q_T)}$.
- Global null-controllability of $\partial_t y - \Delta y + |y| \log^p(1 + |y|) = h1_\omega$, $p < 3/2$.
- Blow-up of $\partial_t y - \Delta y + |y| \log^p(1 + |y|) = h1_\omega$, $p > 2$.
- Optimality of $\|a\|_\infty^{2/3}$ by Meshkov's counterexample for $a \in L^\infty(Q_T; \mathbb{C})$.
- Landis conjecture: $-\Delta u + V(x)u = 0$, $|u(x)| \leq \exp(-|x|^{1+\varepsilon}) \Rightarrow u \equiv 0$.
- False in 2-d for $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C})$ by Meshkov's counterexample.
- True in 2-d for $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$ by Logunov and al.

Goal: improve observability estimates for elliptic equations in 2-d and obtain new elliptic control results.

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction and several motivations
 - Null-controllability of linear parabolic equations
 - Null-controllability of semilinear parabolic equations
 - Optimality of observability inequalities
 - Landis conjecture
- 2 **Observability inequalities for elliptic equations in 2-d and applications to control**
 - **Main results**
 - Proof of the observability inequality
- 3 Conclusion

Optimal observability inequality in 2-d

Theorem (Ervedoza, Le Balc'h (2021))

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\omega \subset \Omega$.

For every **real-valued potential** $V \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and function $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|-\Delta u + Vu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\omega)} \right), \quad (\text{Observability})$$

where $C > 0$ is given by $C = \exp \left(C(\Omega, \omega) \left(1 + \|V\|_\infty^{1/2} \log^{1/2} (\|V\|_\infty) \right) \right)$.

- V has to be real-valued (Meshkov's counterexample).
- $V \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow$ one can assume that u is real-valued.
- Today, Ω has to be smooth and simply connected.
- (Observability) proved by Logunov and al for Ω a 2-d manifold without boundary and $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$.

Applications to control theory

Take $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and consider the elliptic control problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta y + f(y) = F + h1_\omega & \text{in } \Omega, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (\text{LaplaceNL})$$

where $F \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Goal: Find a pair $(y, h) \in [H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)] \times L^\infty(\omega)$ satisfying (LaplaceNL).

Theorem (Ervedoza, Le Balc'h (2021))

- (Positive result) Assume that $f(s) = o_{+\infty}(|s| \log^p(1 + |s|))$, $p < 2$, then
 $\forall F \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\exists (y, h) \in [H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)] \times L^\infty(\omega)$ satisfying (LaplaceNL).
 - (Negative result) Take $f(s) = |s| \log^p(1 + |s|)$, $p > 2$. Then,
 $\exists F \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\forall h \in L^\infty(\omega)$, (LaplaceNL) has no solution $y \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.
- Negative result is based on the localized eigenfunction method (OK in N-d).
 - Positive result is true in 1-d, with $p = 2$.
 - Positive result is true in N-d, with $p = 3/2$.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction and several motivations

- Null-controllability of linear parabolic equations
- Null-controllability of semilinear parabolic equations
- Optimality of observability inequalities
- Landis conjecture

2 Observability inequalities for elliptic equations in 2-d and applications to control

- Main results
- Proof of the observability inequality

3 Conclusion

Strategy of the proof of the main result

Theorem (Ervedoza, Le Balc'h (2021))

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\omega \subset \Omega$.

For every **real-valued potential** $V \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and function $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C_V \left(\|-\Delta u + Vu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\omega)} \right), \quad (\text{Observability})$$

where $C_V > 0$ is given by $C_V = \exp \left(C(\Omega, \omega) \left(1 + \|V\|_\infty^{1/2} \log^{1/2} (\|V\|_\infty) \right) \right)$.

The proof is divided into five main steps:

1. Reduction to concentric balls.
 2. Reduction to a weak observability inequality for smooth functions.
 3. Construction of a multiplier in a perforated domain.
 4. A quasiconformal change of variable to transform the divergence equation.
 5. Carleman estimate conjugated with Harnack inequalities.
- 3, 4, 5 are crucially inspired by Logunov and al (2020).

Reduction to concentric balls (Step 1)

Up to a translation: $0 \in \omega$.

Smooth Riemann mapping theorem: $\exists \varphi : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \overline{B(0,1)}$, one-to-one, $\varphi(0) = 0$,

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \varphi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}), 0 < c \leq |\varphi'| \leq C \text{ in } \overline{\Omega}.$$

Open mapping theorem: φ maps ω to a neighborhood of 0.

Cauchy-Riemann's equation: Set $\hat{u} := u \circ \varphi^{-1}$, we have

$$\Delta \hat{u}(x) = |\nabla \Re(\varphi^{-1})|^2 \Delta u(\varphi^{-1}(x)) \quad \forall x \in B(0,1).$$

So setting $\hat{V} = |\nabla \Re(\varphi^{-1})|^2 V$, we obtain

$$-\Delta \hat{u} + \hat{V} \hat{u} = |\nabla \Re(\varphi^{-1})|^2 (-\Delta u(\varphi^{-1}) + Vu(\varphi^{-1})) \in L^2(B(0,1)).$$

$$\text{WLOG, } \boxed{\omega = B(0,r) \subset \Omega = B(0,R), \quad 0 < r < R.}$$

A weak inequality for smooth functions (Step 2)

For every $V \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\text{LHS} = \|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C_V \left(\|-\Delta u + Vu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\omega)} \right) = \text{RHS.} \quad (\text{Observability})$$

Sobolev embeddings and local elliptic regularity: Take $\omega_0 \subset\subset \omega$, we have

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\omega_0)} \leq C \|u\|_{H^2(\omega_0)} \leq C \left(\|-\Delta u\|_{L^2(\omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\omega)} \right) \leq \text{RHS.}$$

Global elliptic regularity:

$$\text{LHS} \leq C \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_V \left(\|-\Delta u + Vu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \right).$$

Density argument: The set

$\mathcal{U} = \{u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}) ; 0 \text{ is a regular value of } u \text{ and } u \text{ is a non-zero constant on } \partial\Omega\}$

is dense in $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ for the $H^2(\Omega)$ -topology (Sard's lemma).

WLOG, one has to prove that for every $V \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_V \left(\|-\Delta u + Vu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} \right).$$

Decomposition of the nodal set (Step 3)

We introduce the *nodal set* of $u \in \mathcal{U}$:

$$Z := \{x \in \Omega ; u(x) = 0\}.$$

Recall that 0 is a regular value of u and $u \neq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, so

$$Z = \cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{C}_i, \quad \mathcal{C}_i \text{ are disjoint smooth Jordan curves that do not intersect } \partial\Omega.$$

Take $\varepsilon > 0$, a small parameter that will be fixed later.

$$\forall x_0 \in \mathcal{C}_i, \forall r \in (0, \varepsilon], \partial B(x_0, r) \cap \mathcal{C}_i \neq \emptyset. \quad (\text{P-}\varepsilon)$$

We then decompose

$$Z = Z_\varepsilon^1 \cup Z_\varepsilon^2, \quad \forall \mathcal{C}_i \subset Z_\varepsilon^1, \mathcal{C}_i \text{ satisfies (P-}\varepsilon), \quad \forall \mathcal{C}_i \subset Z_\varepsilon^2, \mathcal{C}_i \text{ does not satisfy (P-}\varepsilon).$$

Picture of the nodal set (Step 3)

$$\forall x_0 \in \mathcal{C}_i, \forall r \in (0, \varepsilon], \partial B(x_0, r) \cap \mathcal{C}_i \neq \emptyset. \quad (\text{P-}\varepsilon)$$

$Z = Z_\varepsilon^1 \cup Z_\varepsilon^2$, $\forall \mathcal{C}_i \subset Z_\varepsilon^1$, \mathcal{C}_i satisfies (P- ε), $\forall \mathcal{C}_i \subset Z_\varepsilon^2$, \mathcal{C}_i does not satisfy (P- ε).

Pointwise estimates (Step 3)

$$\forall x_0 \in \mathcal{C}_i, \forall r \in (0, \varepsilon], \partial B(x_0, r) \cap \mathcal{C}_i \neq \emptyset. \quad (\text{P-}\varepsilon)$$

$Z = Z_\varepsilon^1 \cup Z_\varepsilon^2$, $\forall \mathcal{C}_i \subset Z_\varepsilon^1$, \mathcal{C}_i satisfies (P- ε), $\forall \mathcal{C}_i \subset Z_\varepsilon^2$, \mathcal{C}_i does not satisfy (P- ε).

$$-\Delta u + Vu = f \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Proposition

There exist $C > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon^2 \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c$,

- $\forall \mathcal{C} \subset Z_\varepsilon^2$, $\|u\|_{H_0^1(\mathcal{O}_c)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O}_c)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O}_c)}$,
- $\forall \mathcal{O} \subset \Omega \setminus Z_\varepsilon^1$, $(\forall x \in \mathcal{O}, u(x) \geq -C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)})$ or $(\forall x \in \mathcal{O}, u(x) \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)})$

Construction of the perforated domain (Step 3)

Lemma

There exists $C_0 \geq 2^{14}$ s.t. for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist *finitely many closed disks of radius ε , whose union is denoted by F_ε* satisfying the following properties:

- these disks are $C_0\varepsilon$ -separated from each other, from Z_ε^1 , from $\partial\Omega$, from x_{\max} and from 0,
- the set $Z_\varepsilon^1 \cup F_\varepsilon \cup \partial\Omega$ is a $C_0\varepsilon$ -net in Ω ,
- the Poincaré constant $C_P(\Omega_\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon$ with $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus (Z_\varepsilon^1 \cup F_\varepsilon)$.

A positive multiplier in the perforated domain (Step 3)

Recall that $C_P(\Omega_\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon$.

Lemma

There exist $C > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, with $\varepsilon^2 \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c$,

there exists $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ such that

- $-\Delta\varphi + V\varphi = 0$ in Ω_ε ,
- $\tilde{\varphi} := \varphi - 1 \in H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ and $\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon^2 \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$.

Summary of Step 3

The main steps are the following

- Decomposition of the nodal set: $Z := \{x \in \Omega ; u(x) = 0\} = Z_1^\varepsilon \cup Z_2^\varepsilon$,
- Punctual estimate: $\forall \mathcal{O} \subset \Omega \setminus Z_\varepsilon^1$,
 $(\forall x \in \mathcal{O}, u(x) \geq -C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)})$ or $(\forall x \in \mathcal{O}, u(x) \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)})$
- Perforation of the domain: $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus (Z_\varepsilon^1 \cup F_\varepsilon) \Rightarrow C_P(\Omega_\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon$,
- First choice of ε : $\varepsilon^2 \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c$,
- Construction of the multiplier:
 $-\Delta\varphi + V\varphi = 0$ in Ω_ε $\tilde{\varphi} := \varphi - 1 \in H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ and $\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon^2 \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$.

Reduction to a homogeneous divergence equation (Step 4)

Recall that $-\Delta u + Vu = f$ in Ω and $-\Delta\varphi + V\varphi = 0$ in $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus (Z_\varepsilon^1 \cup F_\varepsilon)$.

The function $v = u/\varphi$ satisfies $-\nabla \cdot (\varphi^2 \nabla v) = f\varphi$ in $\Omega'_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus F_\varepsilon$.

Lax-Milgram: $\exists! \psi \in H_0^1(\Omega'_\varepsilon)$, $-\nabla \cdot (\varphi^2 \nabla \psi) = f\varphi$ in Ω'_ε , $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega'_\varepsilon)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

Lemma

The function $\hat{v} = v - \psi$ satisfies $\nabla \cdot (\varphi^2 \nabla \hat{v}) = 0$ in Ω'_ε .

There exists $C > 0$ such that for every disk $D \subset F_\varepsilon$,

$$\left(\forall x \in \Omega'_\varepsilon, d(x, D) \leq C_0\varepsilon, \hat{v}(x) \geq -C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)$$

or

$$\left(\forall x \in \Omega'_\varepsilon, d(x, D) \leq C_0\varepsilon, \hat{v}(x) \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right).$$

Quasiconformal change of variable (Step 4)

Recall that $\nabla \cdot (\varphi^2 \nabla \hat{v}) = 0$ in Ω'_ε .

Lemma

There exists a K -quasiconformal mapping $L : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, $L(0) = 0$, with K s.t.

$$1 \leq K \leq 1 + C\varepsilon^2 \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)},$$

such that $h := \hat{v} \circ L^{-1}$ satisfies $\Delta h = 0$ in $L(\Omega'_\varepsilon)$.

Poincaré's lemma for divergence free vector: $\exists \tilde{v}$ s.t. $\varphi^2 \hat{v}_x = \tilde{v}_y$ and $\varphi^2 \hat{v}_y = -\tilde{v}_x$.

Beltrami's equation: $w := \hat{v} + i\tilde{v}$ satisfies $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = \mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$ with $\mu = \frac{1-\varphi^2}{1+\varphi^2} \frac{\hat{v}_x + i\hat{v}_y}{\hat{v}_x - i\hat{v}_y}$.

Estimate on φ : $|\mu| \leq \frac{1-\varphi^2}{1+\varphi^2} \leq C\varepsilon^2 \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$.

Beltrami: $\exists \psi$ K -quasiconformal, $\psi(0) = 0$, $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \bar{z}} = \mu \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}$ in \mathbb{C} , $1 \leq K \leq 1 + C\varepsilon^2 \|V\|_\infty$.

Stoilow factorization theorem: $\exists W$ hol. s.t. $w = W \circ \psi$ so $\hat{v} \circ \psi^{-1} = \Re(W)$ is harmonic.

Riemann mapping theorem: $\psi(\Omega)$ is simply connected so $\exists \alpha : \psi(\Omega) \rightarrow \Omega$ and $\alpha(0) = 0$.

Set $L := \alpha \circ \psi$, K -quasiconformal, $L(0) = 0$ maps Ω to Ω and $h = \hat{v} \circ L^{-1}$ is harmonic.

Image of the perforated domain by L (Step 4)

Recall that $L : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, $L(0) = 0$, K -quasiconformal, $1 \leq K \leq 1 + C\varepsilon^2 \|V\|_{L^\infty}$.

Lemma

There exist a positive constant $c > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying

$$\varepsilon \leq c \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{-1/2} \log^{-1/2} \left(\|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \right),$$

- $L(\omega)$ contains $B(0, r/32)$,
- $\forall D \subset F_\varepsilon$, $L(D) \subset D'$, a disk of size $\varepsilon' = 32\varepsilon$,
- these disks are $C_0\varepsilon/32$ -separated from each other, from $L(Z_\varepsilon^1)$, from $\partial\Omega$ ($= L(\partial\Omega)$), from $L(x_{\max})$ and from 0 .

The main ingredient is Mori's theorem: $\frac{1}{16} \left| \frac{z_1 - z_2}{R} \right|^K \leq \frac{|L(z_1) - L(z_2)|}{R} \leq 16 \left| \frac{z_1 - z_2}{R} \right|^{1/K}$.

Summary of Step 4

By the change of variable L , the equation $\nabla \cdot (\varphi^2 \nabla \hat{v}) = 0$ in Ω'_ε becomes

$$\Delta h = 0 \text{ in } L(\Omega'_\varepsilon).$$

Moreover, we have for $\varepsilon \leq c \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{-1/2} \log^{-1/2}(\|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})$,

- $L(\omega) \sim B(0, r/32)$,
- $L(\Omega_\varepsilon) \sim \Omega \setminus \cup_{i \in I} D(x'_i, \varepsilon')$,
- $D(x'_i, \varepsilon')$ are $16\varepsilon'$ -separated from each other, from $L(Z_\varepsilon^1)$, from $\partial\Omega$, from $L(x_{\max})$ and from 0,
- h is constant on $\partial\Omega$,
- punctual estimate of h near the disks $D' = D(x'_i, \varepsilon')$,

$$\left(\forall x \in \Omega'_\varepsilon, d(x, D') \leq 16\varepsilon, h(x) \geq -C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)$$

or

$$\left(\forall x \in \Omega'_\varepsilon, d(x, D) \leq 16\varepsilon, h(x) \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right).$$

A Carleman estimate (Step 5)

Set $\eta(x) = \sqrt{R^2 + 1} - \sqrt{|x|^2 + 1}$ and for $\lambda \geq 1$, $\xi(x) = e^{\lambda\eta(x)}$.

Theorem

There exist $\lambda_1 \geq 1$, $s_1 > 0$, $C_1 > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, $s \geq s_1$,

$$\lambda^4 \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\xi} (s\xi)^3 |\varphi|^2 dx \leq C_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{2s\xi} |\Delta\varphi|^2 dx + \lambda^4 \int_{\omega} e^{2s\xi} (s\xi)^3 |\varphi|^2 dx \right),$$

where $\varphi \in H^2(B(0, R))$, constant on $\partial B(0, R)$.

Carleman estimate in the perforated domain (Step 5)

Cut-off near the disks: $\chi \equiv 0$ on $B(0, 3)$ $\chi \equiv 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0, 4)$, and set

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} h(x) \prod_{i \in I} \chi\left(\frac{x-x'_i}{\varepsilon'}\right) & \text{for } x \in L(\Omega'_\varepsilon), \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \Omega \setminus L(\Omega'_\varepsilon). \end{cases}$$

Carleman estimate: $s^3 \int_\Omega e^{2s\xi} |\varphi|^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_\Omega e^{2s\xi} |\Delta\varphi|^2 dx + s^3 \int_\omega e^{2s\xi} |\varphi|^2 dx \right).$

$\Delta h = 0$: $\int_\Omega e^{2s\xi} |\Delta\varphi|^2 \leq C \sum \int_{B(x'_i, 4\varepsilon') \setminus B(x'_i, 3\varepsilon')} \left(\frac{1}{|\varepsilon'|^4} |h|^2 + \frac{1}{|\varepsilon'|^2} |\nabla h|^2 \right) e^{2s\xi}$

Harnack's inequality: $\int_{B(x'_i, 4\varepsilon') \setminus B(x'_i, 3\varepsilon')} \frac{1}{|\varepsilon'|^2} |\nabla h|^2 e^{2s\xi} \leq C \int_{B(x'_i, 4\varepsilon') \setminus B(x'_i, 3\varepsilon')} \frac{1}{|\varepsilon'|^4} |h|^2 e^{2s\xi}.$

$$s^3 \sum \int_{B(x'_i, 8\varepsilon') \setminus B(x'_i, 4\varepsilon')} |h|^2 e^{2s\xi} \leq C \left(\sum \int_{B(x'_i, 4\varepsilon') \setminus B(x'_i, 3\varepsilon')} \frac{1}{|\varepsilon'|^4} |h|^2 e^{2s\xi} + s^3 \int_\omega e^{2s\xi} |h|^2 dx \right).$$

Weight property: $\int_{B(x'_i, 4\varepsilon') \setminus B(x'_i, 3\varepsilon')} e^{2s\xi} \leq e^{-2s\varepsilon'} \int_{B(x'_i, 8\varepsilon') \setminus B(x'_i, 4\varepsilon')} e^{2s\xi}.$

Harnack's inequality: $\int_{B(x'_i, 4\varepsilon') \setminus B(x'_i, 3\varepsilon')} \frac{1}{|\varepsilon'|^4} |h|^2 e^{2s\xi} \leq e^{-2s\varepsilon'} \int_{B(x'_i, 8\varepsilon') \setminus B(x'_i, 4\varepsilon')} |h|^2 e^{2s\xi}.$

For $s\varepsilon'^4 e^{2s\varepsilon'} \geq C$, i.e. $s \geq C\varepsilon^{-1} \log(\varepsilon^{-1})$ we obtain $s^3 \int_\Omega e^{2s\xi} |\varphi|^2 \leq Cs^3 \int_\omega e^{2s\xi} |h|^2.$

Summary of Step 5

For $\varphi = h$, far from the disks, we obtain for $s \geq C\varepsilon^{-1} \log(\varepsilon^{-1})$,

$$s^3 \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\xi} |\varphi|^2 \leq Cs^3 \int_{\omega} e^{2s\xi} |h|^2.$$

How can we finish the proof?

- $\varepsilon \leq c \|V\|_{\infty}^{-1/2} \log^{-1/2}(\|V\|_{\infty}) \Rightarrow s \geq \|V\|_{\infty}^{1/2} \log^{3/2}(\|V\|_{\infty})$.
- $|\varphi|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \exp(C\|V\|_{\infty}^{1/2} \log^{3/2}(\|V\|_{\infty}))(|f|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |h|_{L^2(\omega)})$.
- Mean-value: $|h(L(x_{max}))| \leq \exp(C\|V\|_{\infty}^{1/2} \log^{3/2}(\|V\|_{\infty}))(|f|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |h|_{L^2(\omega)})$.
- Coming back: $|u(x_{max})| \leq \exp(C\|V\|_{\infty}^{1/2} \log^{3/2}(\|V\|_{\infty}))(|f|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |u|_{L^{\infty}(\omega)})$.

Rmk: To obtain $\exp(C\|V\|_{\infty}^{1/2} \log^{3/2}(\|V\|_{\infty}))$, we have to use the antisymmetric term in the Carleman estimate.

Conclusion

In brief, recall the story

- Null-controllability of $\partial_t y - \Delta y + a(t, x)y = h1_\omega$ Cost = $\exp(C(\|a\|_\infty^{2/3}))$.
- Observability of $-\partial_t \varphi - \Delta \varphi + a\varphi = 0$, $|\varphi(0)|_{L^2} \leq \exp(C(\|a\|_\infty^{2/3})) |\varphi|_{L^2(Q_T)}$.
- Global null-controllability of $\partial_t y - \Delta y + |y| \log^p(1 + |y|) = h1_\omega$, $p < 3/2$.
- Blow-up of $\partial_t y - \Delta y + |y| \log^p(1 + |y|) = h1_\omega$, $p > 2$.
- Optimality of $\|a\|_\infty^{2/3}$ by Meshkov's counterexample for $a \in L^\infty(Q_T; \mathbb{C})$.
- Landis conjecture: $-\Delta u + V(x)u = 0$, $|u(x)| \leq \exp(-|x|^{1+\varepsilon}) \Rightarrow u \equiv 0$.
- False in 2-d for $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C})$ by Meshkov's counterexample.
- True in 2-d for $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$ by Logunov and al.

What's new? In 2-d,

- $\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq \exp(C(\|V\|_\infty^{1/2} \log^{1/2}(\|V\|_\infty))) (\|-\Delta u + Vu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\omega)})$,
- Existence of a pair (y, h) s.t. $-\Delta y + |y| \log^p(1 + |y|) = F + h1_\omega$, $p < 2$,
- No existence of a pair $-\Delta y + |y| \log^p(1 + |y|) = F + h1_\omega$, $p > 2$.

Perspectives: Ω connected, optimality of $\|V\|_\infty^{1/2} \log^{1/2}(\|V\|_\infty)$, parabolic equations with spatial potential in 2-d, multi-d case...