

CM modular forms and dihedral representations

joint work with F. A. E. Nuccio

Nicolas Billerey

Laboratoire de mathématiques Blaise Pascal
Université Clermont Auvergne

Barcelona
December 5, 2019



Table of contents

- 1 Introduction : The case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$
- 2 Dihedral Galois representations
- 3 Main result and a corollary
- 4 Sketch of proof of the main result
- 5 Conclusion : The case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$

Table of contents

- 1 Introduction : The case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$
- 2 Dihedral Galois representations
- 3 Main result and a corollary
- 4 Sketch of proof of the main result
- 5 Conclusion : The case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$

Ramanujan's congruences

Let

$$\Delta(q) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau(n) q^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$$

be the unique normalized cuspform of weight 12 and level 1.

Ramanujan's congruences

Let

$$\Delta(q) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau(n) q^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$$

be the unique normalized cuspform of weight 12 and level 1.

It was conjectured by Ramanujan in 1916 that for every prime $p \neq 23$, we have

$$\tau(p) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \pmod{23} & \text{if } p \text{ is a quadratic non residue modulo } 23 ; \\ 2 & \pmod{23} & \text{if } p = u^2 + 23v^2 ; \\ -1 & \pmod{23} & \text{if } p \text{ is a quadratic residue modulo } 23, \\ & & \text{but } p \neq u^2 + 23v^2. \end{cases}$$

Ramanujan's congruences

Let

$$\Delta(q) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \tau(n) q^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$$

be the unique normalized cuspform of weight 12 and level 1.

It was conjectured by Ramanujan in 1916 that for every prime $p \neq 23$, we have

$$\tau(p) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \pmod{23} & \text{if } p \text{ is a quadratic non residue modulo } 23 ; \\ 2 & \pmod{23} & \text{if } p = u^2 + 23v^2 ; \\ -1 & \pmod{23} & \text{if } p \text{ is a quadratic residue modulo } 23, \\ & & \text{but } p \neq u^2 + 23v^2. \end{cases}$$

These congruences were first proved in 1930 by Wilton using the modularity of Δ .

CM forms

Recall the definition of a CM form.

Definition

Let ν be a non trivial Dirichlet character. A newform $g = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n$ has *complex multiplication by ν* if

$$\nu(p)c_p = c_p$$

for all primes p in a set of primes of density 1.

CM forms

Recall the definition of a CM form.

Definition

Let ν be a non trivial Dirichlet character. A newform $g = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n$ has *complex multiplication by ν* if

$$\nu(p)c_p = c_p$$

for all primes p in a set of primes of density 1.

Remarks

If a newform g has complex multiplication (CM) by a Dirichlet character ν , then the field K fixed by the kernel of ν is imaginary quadratic.

CM forms

Recall the definition of a CM form.

Definition

Let ν be a non trivial Dirichlet character. A newform $g = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n$ has *complex multiplication by ν* if

$$\nu(p)c_p = c_p$$

for all primes p in a set of primes of density 1.

Remarks

If a newform g has complex multiplication (CM) by a Dirichlet character ν , then the field K fixed by the kernel of ν is imaginary quadratic. We also say that g has CM by K .

A CM form modulo 23

Ramanujan's congruences imply in particular that

$$\tau(p) \equiv \tau(p) \left(\frac{-23}{p} \right) \pmod{23},$$

for every prime $p \neq 23$.

A CM form modulo 23

Ramanujan's congruences imply in particular that

$$\tau(p) \equiv \tau(p) \left(\frac{-23}{p} \right) \pmod{23},$$

for every prime $p \neq 23$.

Hence the (non-CM) modular form Δ looks like a CM form modulo 23.

A CM form modulo 23

Ramanujan's congruences imply in particular that

$$\tau(p) \equiv \tau(p) \left(\frac{-23}{p} \right) \pmod{23},$$

for every prime $p \neq 23$.

Hence the (non-CM) modular form Δ looks like a CM form modulo 23.

Question - First version

Does there exist a CM form $g = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n$ such that ' $g \equiv \Delta \pmod{23}$ ', i.e. there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{L} dividing 23 in a sufficiently large number field such that for all but finitely primes p we have

$$c_p \equiv \tau(p) \pmod{\mathfrak{L}} ?$$

Table of contents

- 1 Introduction : The case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$
- 2 Dihedral Galois representations**
- 3 Main result and a corollary
- 4 Sketch of proof of the main result
- 5 Conclusion : The case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$

Galois representations

Consider a prime ℓ and fix throughout a place of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ above ℓ with residue field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$.

Galois representations

Consider a prime ℓ and fix throughout a place of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ above ℓ with residue field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$.

Given a newform $g = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n$ of weight $k \geq 2$, level $N \geq 1$ and

Nebentypus character $\chi: (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, denote by

$$\bar{\rho}_{g,\ell}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$$

the unique semi-simple residual Galois representation associated with f by Deligne.

Galois representations

Consider a prime ℓ and fix throughout a place of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ above ℓ with residue field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$.

Given a newform $g = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n$ of weight $k \geq 2$, level $N \geq 1$ and

Nebentypus character $\chi: (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, denote by

$$\overline{\rho}_{g,\ell}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$$

the unique semi-simple residual Galois representation associated with f by Deligne.

Recall that $\overline{\rho}_{g,\ell}$ is unramified outside $N\ell$ and for every prime $p \nmid N\ell$, the characteristic polynomial of $\overline{\rho}_{g,\ell}(\text{Frob}_p)$ is

$$X^2 - c_p X + \chi(p)p^{k-1}.$$

Galois representation associated with $\Delta \pmod{23}$

In the case of $g = \Delta$ and $\ell = 23$, the construction of $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}$ dates back to Serre and Swinnerton-Dyer.

Galois representation associated with $\Delta \pmod{23}$

In the case of $g = \Delta$ and $\ell = 23$, the construction of $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}$ dates back to Serre and Swinnerton-Dyer.

The quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$ is unramified away from 23 and has class number 3. Let H be its Hilbert class field. The extension H/\mathbb{Q} is Galois and $\text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_3$.

Galois representation associated with $\Delta \pmod{23}$

In the case of $g = \Delta$ and $\ell = 23$, the construction of $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}$ dates back to Serre and Swinnerton-Dyer.

The quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$ is unramified away from 23 and has class number 3. Let H be its Hilbert class field. The extension H/\mathbb{Q} is Galois and $\text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_3$.

Consider the group morphism

$$\sigma: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_3 \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{23})$$

where the second arrow is the unique 2-dimensional irreducible representation of D_3 . It defines an irreducible representation, unramified outside 23 and with image isomorphic to D_3 .

Galois representation associated with $\Delta \pmod{23}$

In the case of $g = \Delta$ and $\ell = 23$, the construction of $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}$ dates back to Serre and Swinnerton-Dyer.

The quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$ is unramified away from 23 and has class number 3. Let H be its Hilbert class field. The extension H/\mathbb{Q} is Galois and $\text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_3$.

Consider the group morphism

$$\sigma: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_3 \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{23})$$

where the second arrow is the unique 2-dimensional irreducible representation of D_3 . It defines an irreducible representation, unramified outside 23 and with image isomorphic to D_3 .

Class Field Theory and Ramanujan's congruences then imply that

$$\sigma \simeq \bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}.$$

Dihedral Galois representations

Definition

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be an irreducible Galois representation. We say that $\bar{\rho}$ is a *dihedral* Galois representation if its projective image is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_n of order $2n$ with $n \geq 3$ coprime to ℓ .

Dihedral Galois representations

Definition

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be an irreducible Galois representation. We say that $\bar{\rho}$ is a *dihedral* Galois representation if its projective image is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_n of order $2n$ with $n \geq 3$ coprime to ℓ .

- On one hand, Galois representations associated with CM forms are, generally, dihedral.

Dihedral Galois representations

Definition

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be an irreducible Galois representation. We say that $\bar{\rho}$ is a *dihedral* Galois representation if its projective image is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_n of order $2n$ with $n \geq 3$ coprime to ℓ .

- On one hand, Galois representations associated with CM forms are, generally, dihedral.
- On the other hand, dihedral Galois representations are known to be modular.

Dihedral Galois representations

Definition

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be an irreducible Galois representation. We say that $\bar{\rho}$ is a *dihedral* Galois representation if its projective image is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_n of order $2n$ with $n \geq 3$ coprime to ℓ .

- On one hand, Galois representations associated with CM forms are, generally, dihedral.
- On the other hand, dihedral Galois representations are known to be modular.

Dihedral Galois representations

Definition

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be an irreducible Galois representation. We say that $\bar{\rho}$ is a *dihedral* Galois representation if its projective image is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_n of order $2n$ with $n \geq 3$ coprime to ℓ .

- On one hand, Galois representations associated with CM forms are, generally, dihedral.
- On the other hand, dihedral Galois representations are known to be modular.

Hence the following natural question.

Question - Second version

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be a dihedral Galois representation. Does there exist a newform g with CM such that

$$\bar{\rho} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{g,\ell} ?$$

Table of contents

- 1 Introduction : The case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$
- 2 Dihedral Galois representations
- 3 Main result and a corollary**
- 4 Sketch of proof of the main result
- 5 Conclusion : The case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$

Serre's parameters

To a given (continuous) irreducible Galois representation

$$\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$$

Serre attaches a triple $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$ consisting of

Serre's parameters

To a given (continuous) irreducible Galois representation

$$\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$$

Serre attaches a triple $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$ consisting of

- an integer $N(\bar{\rho}) \geq 1$ called the *level* such that $N(\bar{\rho})$ is coprime to ℓ and for every prime $p \neq \ell$

$\bar{\rho}$ is ramified at p if and only if p divides $N(\bar{\rho})$;

Serre's parameters

To a given (continuous) irreducible Galois representation

$$\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$$

Serre attaches a triple $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$ consisting of

- an integer $N(\bar{\rho}) \geq 1$ called the *level* such that $N(\bar{\rho})$ is coprime to ℓ and for every prime $p \neq \ell$

$\bar{\rho}$ is ramified at p if and only if p divides $N(\bar{\rho})$;

- an integer $k(\bar{\rho})$ in the range $[2, \ell^2 - 1]$ if $\ell > 2$ and in $\{2, 4\}$ for $\ell = 2$, called the *weight* and depending only on $\bar{\rho}$ locally at ℓ ;

Serre's parameters

To a given (continuous) irreducible Galois representation

$$\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$$

Serre attaches a triple $(N(\bar{\rho}), k(\bar{\rho}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}))$ consisting of

- an integer $N(\bar{\rho}) \geq 1$ called the *level* such that $N(\bar{\rho})$ is coprime to ℓ and for every prime $p \neq \ell$

$\bar{\rho}$ is ramified at p if and only if p divides $N(\bar{\rho})$;

- an integer $k(\bar{\rho})$ in the range $[2, \ell^2 - 1]$ if $\ell > 2$ and in $\{2, 4\}$ for $\ell = 2$, called the *weight* and depending only on $\bar{\rho}$ locally at ℓ ;
- a character $\epsilon(\bar{\rho}): (\mathbb{Z}/N(\bar{\rho})\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times$ such that

$$\det \bar{\rho} = \epsilon(\bar{\rho}) \chi_\ell^{k(\bar{\rho})-1}$$

with χ_ℓ the mod ℓ cyclotomic character.

Lack of optimality

Recall Serre's famous modularity conjecture.

Serre's conjecture

Every odd irreducible Galois representation $\bar{\rho}$ arises from a newform of weight $k(\bar{\rho})$, level $N(\bar{\rho})$ and Nebentypus character a lift of $\epsilon(\bar{\rho})$.

Lack of optimality

Recall Serre's famous modularity conjecture.

Serre's conjecture

Every odd irreducible Galois representation $\bar{\rho}$ arises from a newform of weight $k(\bar{\rho})$, level $N(\bar{\rho})$ and Nebentypus character a lift of $\epsilon(\bar{\rho})$.

For the Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}$ associated with Δ and $\ell = 23$, Serre's parameters are

$$(N(\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}), k(\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23})) = (1, 12, \mathbf{1}).$$

Lack of optimality

Recall Serre's famous modularity conjecture.

Serre's conjecture

Every odd irreducible Galois representation $\bar{\rho}$ arises from a newform of weight $k(\bar{\rho})$, level $N(\bar{\rho})$ and Nebentypus character a lift of $\epsilon(\bar{\rho})$.

For the Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}$ associated with Δ and $\ell = 23$, Serre's parameters are

$$(N(\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}), k(\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23})) = (1, 12, \mathbf{1}).$$

In particular, we cannot expect a given dihedral Galois representation to arise *from a CM form* of Serre's 'optimal' type.

Lack of optimality

Recall Serre's famous modularity conjecture.

Serre's conjecture

Every odd irreducible Galois representation $\bar{\rho}$ arises from a newform of weight $k(\bar{\rho})$, level $N(\bar{\rho})$ and Nebentypus character a lift of $\epsilon(\bar{\rho})$.

For the Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}$ associated with Δ and $\ell = 23$, Serre's parameters are

$$(N(\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}), k(\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23})) = (1, 12, \mathbf{1}).$$

In particular, we cannot expect a given dihedral Galois representation to arise *from a CM form* of Serre's 'optimal' type. Therefore, we have to relax on (at least) one of these parameters.

Lack of optimality

Recall Serre's famous modularity conjecture.

Serre's conjecture

Every odd irreducible Galois representation $\bar{\rho}$ arises from a newform of weight $k(\bar{\rho})$, level $N(\bar{\rho})$ and Nebentypus character a lift of $\epsilon(\bar{\rho})$.

For the Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}$ associated with Δ and $\ell = 23$, Serre's parameters are

$$(N(\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}), k(\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23}), \epsilon(\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23})) = (1, 12, \mathbf{1}).$$

In particular, we cannot expect a given dihedral Galois representation to arise *from a CM form* of Serre's 'optimal' type. Therefore, we have to relax on (at least) one of these parameters.

Our choice was to seek for a CM form of 'optimal' weight $k(\bar{\rho})$.

The setting

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be a dihedral Galois representation. We denote by

$$\mathbb{P}\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) \twoheadrightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$$

its projectivization.

The setting

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be a dihedral Galois representation. We denote by

$$\mathbb{P}\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) \twoheadrightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$$

its projectivization.

By assumption $\mathbb{P}\bar{\rho}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \simeq D_n$ with D_n dihedral group of order $2n$ with $n \geq 3$ and coprime to ℓ . Denote by C_n the unique cyclic subgroup of order n in D_n .

The setting

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be a dihedral Galois representation. We denote by

$$\mathbb{P}\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) \twoheadrightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$$

its projectivization.

By assumption $\mathbb{P}\bar{\rho}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \simeq D_n$ with D_n dihedral group of order $2n$ with $n \geq 3$ and coprime to ℓ . Denote by C_n the unique cyclic subgroup of order n in D_n .

Let K be the quadratic field cut out by the kernel of the character

$$\omega: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}\bar{\rho}} D_n \twoheadrightarrow D_n/C_n \simeq \{\pm 1\}.$$

The setting

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be a dihedral Galois representation. We denote by

$$\mathbb{P}\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell) \twoheadrightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$$

its projectivization.

By assumption $\mathbb{P}\bar{\rho}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \simeq D_n$ with D_n dihedral group of order $2n$ with $n \geq 3$ and coprime to ℓ . Denote by C_n the unique cyclic subgroup of order n in D_n .

Let K be the quadratic field cut out by the kernel of the character

$$\omega: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}\bar{\rho}} D_n \twoheadrightarrow D_n/C_n \simeq \{\pm 1\}.$$

It is unramified outside $N\ell$.

Main result

Theorem (B.-Nuccio)

With the previous notation, assume that

- the field K is quadratic *imaginary*;
- we have $2 \leq k(\bar{\rho}) \leq \ell - 1$ and $\ell \geq 5$.

Then, the representation $\bar{\rho}$ is modular and arises from a newform *with complex multiplication by K* of weight $k(\bar{\rho})$ and level

$$N' = \begin{cases} N(\bar{\rho}) & \text{if } \ell \text{ is unramified in } K ; \\ \ell^2 N(\bar{\rho}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Main result

Theorem (B.-Nuccio)

With the previous notation, assume that

- the field K is quadratic *imaginary*;
- we have $2 \leq k(\bar{\rho}) \leq \ell - 1$ and $\ell \geq 5$.

Then, the representation $\bar{\rho}$ is modular and arises from a newform *with complex multiplication by K* of weight $k(\bar{\rho})$ and level

$$N' = \begin{cases} N(\bar{\rho}) & \text{if } \ell \text{ is unramified in } K ; \\ \ell^2 N(\bar{\rho}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the following properties hold :

- 1 if ℓ is ramified in K , then $\ell \in \{2k(\bar{\rho}) - 1, 2k(\bar{\rho}) - 3\}$;
- 2 if $\epsilon(\bar{\rho})$ is trivial then, $\bar{\rho}$ arises from a newform with CM by K , weight $k(\bar{\rho})$, level dividing N' and trivial Nebentypus character.

Application to abelian varieties of GL_2 -type

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be a simple abelian variety. Denote by $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ its ring of endomorphisms defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Application to abelian varieties of GL_2 -type

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be a simple abelian variety. Denote by $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ its ring of endomorphisms defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Assume that A is of GL_2 -type in the terminology of Ribet, meaning that $E = \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a number field of degree $\dim(A)$.

Application to abelian varieties of GL_2 -type

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be a simple abelian variety. Denote by $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ its ring of endomorphisms defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Assume that A is of GL_2 -type in the terminology of Ribet, meaning that $E = \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a number field of degree $\dim(A)$. For every prime ideal λ in the integer ring of E above ℓ with residue field \mathbb{F}_{λ} , denote by

$$\bar{\rho}_{A,\lambda}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_{\lambda})$$

the corresponding 2-dimensional Galois representation.

Application to abelian varieties of GL_2 -type

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be a simple abelian variety. Denote by $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ its ring of endomorphisms defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Assume that A is of GL_2 -type in the terminology of Ribet, meaning that $E = \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a number field of degree $\dim(A)$. For every prime ideal λ in the integer ring of E above ℓ with residue field \mathbb{F}_{λ} , denote by

$$\bar{\rho}_{A,\lambda}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_{\lambda})$$

the corresponding 2-dimensional Galois representation.

Corollary

With the notation above, assume $\ell \geq 5$, $\ell \nmid N_A$ (the conductor of A) and the image of $\bar{\rho}_{A,\lambda}$ is included in the normalizer of a non-split Cartan subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_{\lambda})$. Then, $\bar{\rho}_{A,\lambda}$ arises from a CM newform of weight 2 and level $N(\bar{\rho}_{A,\lambda})$ (dividing N_A).

Remarks

- For elliptic curves the corollary was proved by Chen in 2002.

Remarks

- For elliptic curves the corollary was proved by Chen in 2002.
- The case of weight $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$ in our main result was proved in 2011 by Nualart.

Remarks

- For elliptic curves the corollary was proved by Chen in 2002.
- The case of weight $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$ in our main result was proved in 2011 by Nualart.
- The main difference in the higher weight situation lies in the fact that K might ramify at ℓ ;

Remarks

- For elliptic curves the corollary was proved by Chen in 2002.
- The case of weight $k(\bar{\rho}) = 2$ in our main result was proved in 2011 by Nualart.
- The main difference in the higher weight situation lies in the fact that K might ramify at ℓ ;
- Our result is optimal : cf. the case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$.

Table of contents

- 1 Introduction : The case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$
- 2 Dihedral Galois representations
- 3 Main result and a corollary
- 4 Sketch of proof of the main result**
- 5 Conclusion : The case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$

Hecke-Shimura construction

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant $-D$ and integer ring \mathcal{O}_K . Denote by \mathbb{A}_K the ring of adèles of K . Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer.

Hecke-Shimura construction

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant $-D$ and integer ring \mathcal{O}_K . Denote by \mathbb{A}_K the ring of adèles of K . Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer.

A *Grössencharacter* of K of weight k is a continuous homomorphism

$$\delta: \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

which is trivial on K^\times and whose infinite type is $\delta_\infty(z) = z^{1-k}$.

Hecke-Shimura construction

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant $-D$ and integer ring \mathcal{O}_K . Denote by \mathbb{A}_K the ring of adèles of K . Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer.

A *Grössencharacter* of K of weight k is a continuous homomorphism

$$\delta: \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

which is trivial on K^\times and whose infinite type is $\delta_\infty(z) = z^{1-k}$.

View such a δ as homomorphism

$$\{\text{fractional ideals of } K \text{ coprime to } \mathfrak{m}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

where \mathfrak{m} is the conductor of δ and define

$$\eta(m) = \delta(m\mathcal{O}_K)/m^{k-1}, \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then η induces a Dirichlet character modulo $M = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{m})$.

Hecke-Shimura construction

Theorem (Hecke-Shimura)

The q -series $g_\delta = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \text{ integral} \\ (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m})=1}} \delta(\mathfrak{a}) q^{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})}$ is a newform of weight k , level MD and Nebentypus character $\eta\left(\frac{-D}{\cdot}\right)$ with complex multiplication by K . Furthermore, all CM newforms arise this way.

Hecke-Shimura construction

Theorem (Hecke-Shimura)

The q -series $g_\delta = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \text{ integral} \\ (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m})=1}} \delta(\mathfrak{a}) q^{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})}$ is a newform of weight k , level MD and Nebentypus character $\eta\left(\frac{-D}{\cdot}\right)$ with complex multiplication by K . Furthermore, all CM newforms arise this way.

In order to prove the main result we have to pin down a Größencharacter with

- good reduction properties ;

Hecke-Shimura construction

Theorem (Hecke-Shimura)

The q -series $g_\delta = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \text{ integral} \\ (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m})=1}} \delta(\mathfrak{a}) q^{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})}$ is a newform of weight k , level MD and Nebentypus character $\eta\left(\frac{-D}{\cdot}\right)$ with complex multiplication by K . Furthermore, all CM newforms arise this way.

In order to prove the main result we have to pin down a Größencharacter with

- good reduction properties;
- weight $k(\bar{\rho})$;

Hecke-Shimura construction

Theorem (Hecke-Shimura)

The q -series $g_\delta = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \text{ integral} \\ (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m})=1}} \delta(\mathfrak{a}) q^{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})}$ is a newform of weight k , level MD and Nebentypus character $\eta\left(\frac{-D}{\cdot}\right)$ with complex multiplication by K . Furthermore, all CM newforms arise this way.

In order to prove the main result we have to pin down a Grössencharacter with

- good reduction properties;
- weight $k(\bar{\rho})$;
- controlled ramification.

Construction of the appropriate Grössencharacter

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be a dihedral Galois representation. With the notation of the theorem, we have

$$\bar{\rho} \simeq \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})}^{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K)}(\varphi), \quad \text{for some character } \varphi: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times.$$

Construction of the appropriate Größencharacter

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be a dihedral Galois representation. With the notation of the theorem, we have

$$\bar{\rho} \simeq \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})}^{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K)}(\varphi), \quad \text{for some character } \varphi: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times.$$

The composition map

$$\alpha: \mathbb{A}_K^\times \xrightarrow{\text{rec}_K} \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K)^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times \xrightarrow{\text{lift}} \mathbb{C}^\times$$

defines a Größencharacter but with trivial type at infinity.

Construction of the appropriate Größencharacter

Let $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ be a dihedral Galois representation. With the notation of the theorem, we have

$$\bar{\rho} \simeq \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})}^{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K)}(\varphi), \quad \text{for some character } \varphi: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times.$$

The composition map

$$\alpha: \mathbb{A}_K^\times \xrightarrow{\text{rec}_K} \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K)^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times \xrightarrow{\text{lift}} \mathbb{C}^\times$$

defines a Größencharacter but with trivial type at infinity.

Construction of the appropriate Größencharacter

Put $k = k(\bar{\rho})$ and let λ be the prime ideal dividing ℓ in K induced by our choice of a place of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ above ℓ .

Construction of the appropriate Größencharacter

Put $k = k(\bar{\rho})$ and let λ be the prime ideal dividing ℓ in K induced by our choice of a place of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ above ℓ .

Starting from α and using Class Field Theory, we construct a Größencharacter δ of K such that

- has weight k ;

Construction of the appropriate Größencharacter

Put $k = k(\bar{\rho})$ and let λ be the prime ideal dividing ℓ in K induced by our choice of a place of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ above ℓ .

Starting from α and using Class Field Theory, we construct a Größencharacter δ of K such that

- has weight k ;
- reduces to φ ;

Construction of the appropriate Größencharacter

Put $k = k(\bar{\rho})$ and let λ be the prime ideal dividing ℓ in K induced by our choice of a place of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ above ℓ .

Starting from α and using Class Field Theory, we construct a Größencharacter δ of K such that

- has weight k ;
- reduces to φ ;
- has the same conductor as α away from λ

Construction of the appropriate Größencharacter

Put $k = k(\bar{\rho})$ and let λ be the prime ideal dividing ℓ in K induced by our choice of a place of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ above ℓ .

Starting from α and using Class Field Theory, we construct a Größencharacter δ of K such that

- has weight k ;
- reduces to φ ;
- has the same conductor as α away from λ
- has controlled ramification at λ with respect to that of φ and K .

Study of the ramification of φ and K at ℓ

Here we denote by I_ℓ a inertia group at ℓ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and by I_λ its inertia subgroup at λ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$.

Proposition

- 1 Assume that $\bar{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is reducible. Then,

Study of the ramification of φ and K at ℓ

Here we denote by I_ℓ a inertia group at ℓ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and by I_λ its inertia subgroup at λ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$.

Proposition

- 1 Assume that $\bar{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is reducible. Then,
 - ▶ either $\ell\mathcal{O}_K = \lambda \cdot \bar{\lambda}$ is split in K , and in this case φ is unramified at $\bar{\lambda}$ and tamely ramified at λ with $\varphi|_{I_\lambda} = \chi_\ell^{k-1}$;

Study of the ramification of φ and K at ℓ

Here we denote by I_ℓ a inertia group at ℓ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and by I_λ its inertia subgroup at λ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$.

Proposition

- Assume that $\bar{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is reducible. Then,
 - ▶ either $\ell\mathcal{O}_K = \lambda \cdot \bar{\lambda}$ is split in K , and in this case φ is unramified at $\bar{\lambda}$ and tamely ramified at λ with $\varphi|_{I_\lambda} = \chi_\ell^{k-1}$;
 - ▶ or ℓ is ramified in K and in this case, $\ell = 2k - 1$ and φ is unramified at λ .

Study of the ramification of φ and K at ℓ

Here we denote by I_ℓ an inertia group at ℓ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and by I_λ its inertia subgroup at λ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$.

Proposition

- 1 Assume that $\overline{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is reducible. Then,
 - ▶ either $\ell\mathcal{O}_K = \lambda \cdot \overline{\lambda}$ is split in K , and in this case φ is unramified at $\overline{\lambda}$ and tamely ramified at λ with $\varphi|_{I_\lambda} = \chi_\ell^{k-1}$;
 - ▶ or ℓ is ramified in K and in this case, $\ell = 2k - 1$ and φ is unramified at λ .
- 2 Assume that $\overline{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is irreducible. Then,

Study of the ramification of φ and K at ℓ

Here we denote by I_ℓ a inertia group at ℓ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and by I_λ its inertia subgroup at λ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$.

Proposition

- 1 Assume that $\bar{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is reducible. Then,
 - ▶ either $\ell\mathcal{O}_K = \lambda \cdot \bar{\lambda}$ is split in K , and in this case φ is unramified at $\bar{\lambda}$ and tamely ramified at λ with $\varphi|_{I_\lambda} = \chi_\ell^{k-1}$;
 - ▶ or ℓ is ramified in K and in this case, $\ell = 2k - 1$ and φ is unramified at λ .
- 2 Assume that $\bar{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is irreducible. Then,
 - ▶ either ℓ is inert in K ;

Study of the ramification of φ and K at ℓ

Here we denote by I_ℓ an inertia group at ℓ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and by I_λ its inertia subgroup at λ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$.

Proposition

- 1 Assume that $\overline{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is reducible. Then,
 - ▶ either $\ell\mathcal{O}_K = \lambda \cdot \overline{\lambda}$ is split in K , and in this case φ is unramified at $\overline{\lambda}$ and tamely ramified at λ with $\varphi|_{I_\lambda} = \chi_\ell^{k-1}$;
 - ▶ or ℓ is ramified in K and in this case, $\ell = 2k - 1$ and φ is unramified at λ .
- 2 Assume that $\overline{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is irreducible. Then,
 - ▶ either ℓ is inert in K ;
 - ▶ or ℓ is ramified in K and $\ell = 2k - 3$.

Study of the ramification of φ and K at ℓ

Here we denote by I_ℓ an inertia group at ℓ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and by I_λ its inertia subgroup at λ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$.

Proposition

- 1 Assume that $\overline{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is reducible. Then,
 - ▶ either $\ell\mathcal{O}_K = \lambda \cdot \overline{\lambda}$ is split in K , and in this case φ is unramified at $\overline{\lambda}$ and tamely ramified at λ with $\varphi|_{I_\lambda} = \chi_\ell^{k-1}$;
 - ▶ or ℓ is ramified in K and in this case, $\ell = 2k - 1$ and φ is unramified at λ .
- 2 Assume that $\overline{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is irreducible. Then,
 - ▶ either ℓ is inert in K ;
 - ▶ or ℓ is ramified in K and $\ell = 2k - 3$.

Study of the ramification of φ and K at ℓ

Here we denote by I_ℓ an inertia group at ℓ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and by I_λ its inertia subgroup at λ in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$.

Proposition

- 1 Assume that $\bar{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is reducible. Then,
 - ▶ either $\ell\mathcal{O}_K = \lambda \cdot \bar{\lambda}$ is split in K , and in this case φ is unramified at $\bar{\lambda}$ and tamely ramified at λ with $\varphi|_{I_\lambda} = \chi_\ell^{k-1}$;
 - ▶ or ℓ is ramified in K and in this case, $\ell = 2k - 1$ and φ is unramified at λ .
- 2 Assume that $\bar{\rho}|_{I_\ell}$ is irreducible. Then,
 - ▶ either ℓ is inert in K ;
 - ▶ or ℓ is ramified in K and $\ell = 2k - 3$.

In both cases, φ is ramified at λ and $\varphi|_{I_\lambda} = \psi_2^{k-1}$ with ψ_2 a fundamental character of level 2.

End of the proof

Take δ to be the suitable modification of

$$\alpha: \mathbb{A}_K^\times \xrightarrow{\text{rec}_K} \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times \xrightarrow{\text{lift}} \mathbb{C}^\times$$

and attach to it the CM newform g_δ .

End of the proof

Take δ to be the suitable modification of

$$\alpha: \mathbb{A}_K^\times \xrightarrow{\text{rec}_K} \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times \xrightarrow{\text{lift}} \mathbb{C}^\times$$

and attach to it the CM newform g_δ .

Conclude that g_δ has the required properties using the formula for the conductor of an induced representation and the previous proposition.

Table of contents

- 1 Introduction : The case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$
- 2 Dihedral Galois representations
- 3 Main result and a corollary
- 4 Sketch of proof of the main result
- 5 Conclusion : The case of $\Delta \pmod{23}$**

Back to the example of $\Delta \pmod{23}$

According to the theorem, there exists a newform g of weight 12, level $529 = 23^2$ and trivial Nebentypus with CM by $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$ such that

$$\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{g,23}.$$

Back to the example of $\Delta \pmod{23}$

According to the theorem, there exists a newform g of weight 12, level $529 = 23^2$ and trivial Nebentypus with CM by $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$ such that

$$\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{g,23}.$$

Using the construction of Hecke-Shimura, we can directly compute the first coefficients of g . The class group of K has order 3 and is generated by an ideal above 2.

Back to the example of $\Delta \pmod{23}$

According to the theorem, there exists a newform g of weight 12, level $529 = 23^2$ and trivial Nebentypus with CM by $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$ such that

$$\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{g,23}.$$

Using the construction of Hecke-Shimura, we can directly compute the first coefficients of g . The class group of K has order 3 and is generated by an ideal above 2.

We define δ to be a Größencharacter of K with weight 12 and conductor $\sqrt{-23}\mathcal{O}_K$ such that, in the previous notation, $\eta = \left(\frac{-23}{\cdot}\right)$.

Back to the example of $\Delta \pmod{23}$

According to the theorem, there exists a newform g of weight 12, level $529 = 23^2$ and trivial Nebentypus with CM by $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$ such that

$$\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,23} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{g,23}.$$

Using the construction of Hecke-Shimura, we can directly compute the first coefficients of g . The class group of K has order 3 and is generated by an ideal above 2.

We define δ to be a Grössencharacter of K with weight 12 and conductor $\sqrt{-23}\mathcal{O}_K$ such that, in the previous notation, $\eta = \left(\frac{-23}{\cdot}\right)$.

We find that

$$g_\delta = q + (-21b^2 - 4b + 84)q^2 + (53b^2 + 251b - 212)q^3 + \dots$$

where b a root of $X^3 - 6X - 3$.