

FAITHFUL FLATNESS OF HOPF ALGEBRAS OVER COIDEAL SUBALGEBRAS WITH A BIMODULE CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION

JULIEN BICHON

ABSTRACT. We give a direct and self-contained proof that if H is a Hopf algebra and $A \subset H$ is a right coideal subalgebra such A is a direct summand in H as an A -bimodule, then H is faithfully flat as a left and right A -module.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this note is to give a direct and self-contained proof of the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let $A \subset H$ be a right coideal subalgebra. If A is a direct summand in H as an A -bimodule, then H is faithfully flat as a left and right A -module.*

The original author's interest for Theorem 1.1 came from A. Chirvasitu's result [3, Theorem 2.1] on the faithful flatness of a cosemisimple Hopf algebras over its Hopf subalgebras. Indeed, Chirvasitu's proof is divided in two steps: he first proves the crucial fact that a Hopf subalgebra $A \subset H$ of a cosemisimple Hopf algebra H is a direct summand of H as an A -bimodule, and then concludes using [3, Proposition 1.4, Proposition 1.6], results that are obtained by a discussion involving an important number of external references [2, 4, 6, 7] and various technologies. It is hoped that the present direct and self-contained proof of Theorem 1.1 will provide an easier access to the proof of Chirvasitu's Theorem.

I wish to thank B. Mesablishvili for useful comments and remarks on previous versions of this note.

Notations and conventions. We work over a fixed field k , and assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of Hopf algebras as e.g. in [5]. If H is a Hopf algebra, as usual, Δ , ε and S stand respectively for the comultiplication, counit and antipode of H . We use Sweedler's notations in the standard way.

The category of left A -modules over an algebra is denoted ${}_A\mathcal{M}$, the category of left C -comodules over a coalgebra is denoted ${}^C\mathcal{M}$, etc...

As usual, we say that a right A -module M is flat if the functor $M \otimes_A - : {}_A\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$ is exact, which amounts to say map $M \otimes_A -$ preserves injective maps (monomorphisms), and that M is faithfully flat if it is flat and $M \otimes_A -$ creates exact sequences as well. Left (faithfully) flat A -modules are defined similarly. We also say that an algebra extension $A \subset B$ is right or left (faithfully) flat if B is (faithfully) flat as a right or left A -module.

If $A \subset B$ is an algebra extension, then A is direct summand in B as a right A -module if and only there exists a right A -linear map $E : B \rightarrow A$ such that $E|_A = \text{id}_A$, and we call such a map E is a right conditional expectation for the extension $A \subset B$. The notion of left conditional expectation is defined similarly, and a bimodule conditional expectation is an A -bimodule map $E : B \rightarrow A$ such that $E|_A = \text{id}_A$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

2.1. Preliminary set-up. We begin by fixing a number of notation and constructions, which will run throughout the section. All this material can be found in [7].

Let H be a Hopf algebra and let $A \subset H$ be a right coideal subalgebra, which means that A is subalgebra of H such that $\Delta(A) \subset A \otimes H$. Let $A^+ = \text{Ker}(\varepsilon) \cap A$ and consider HA^+ , the left ideal of H generated by A^+ . It is an immediate verification that HA^+ is a coideal in H ($\Delta(HA^+) \subset HA^+ \otimes H + H \otimes HA^+$ and $\varepsilon(HA^+) = 0$), so we can form the quotient coalgebra $C = H/HA^+$ together with the canonical surjection $\pi : H \rightarrow C = H/HA^+$. The coalgebra C has as well a left H -module structure induced by π , so that C is a left H -module coalgebra. We therefore consider the category of (relative) Hopf modules ${}^C_H\mathcal{M}$, whose objects are the left H -modules and left C -comodules X such that the coaction $\alpha_X : X \rightarrow C \otimes X$ is left H -linear, i.e. in Sweedler notation, we have for any $h \in H$ and $x \in X$,

$$(h.x)_{(-1)} \otimes (h.x)_{(0)} = h_{(1)}.x_{(-1)} \otimes h_{(2)}.x_{(0)}$$

For a left A -module M , the induced H -module $H \otimes_A M$ has a left C -comodule structure given by $(h \otimes_A m)_{(-1)} \otimes (h \otimes_A m)_{(0)} = \pi(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes_A m$ making it into an object of ${}^C_H\mathcal{M}$. This defines the induction functor

$$\begin{aligned} L = H \otimes_A - : {}_A\mathcal{M} &\longrightarrow {}^C_H\mathcal{M} \\ M &\longmapsto H \otimes_A M \end{aligned}$$

For an object X in ${}^C_H\mathcal{M}$, let

$${}^{\text{co}C}X = \{x \in X \mid x_{(-1)} \otimes x_{(0)} = \pi(1) \otimes x\}$$

It is immediate to check that ${}^{\text{co}C}X \subset X$ is a sub- A -module and this defines a functor

$$\begin{aligned} R = {}^{\text{co}C}(-) : {}^C_H\mathcal{M} &\longrightarrow {}_A\mathcal{M} \\ X &\longmapsto {}^{\text{co}C}X \end{aligned}$$

which is right adjoint to L . We therefore have a pair of adjoint functors

$$(2.1) \quad (L, R) : {}_A\mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows {}^C_H\mathcal{M}$$

whose respective unit and counit are given by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_M : M &\longrightarrow {}^{\text{co}C}(H \otimes_A M) & \mu_X : H \otimes_A {}^{\text{co}C}X &\longrightarrow X \\ m &\longmapsto 1_H \otimes_A m & h \otimes x &\longmapsto h.x \end{aligned}$$

We have now the necessary material to state the following result, which is [3, Proposition 1.6].

Theorem 2.1. *Let H be a Hopf algebra, let $A \subset H$ be a right coideal subalgebra and let $C = H/HA^+$ be the corresponding quotient coalgebra. The following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The induction functor ${}_A\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}^C_H\mathcal{M}$ is an equivalence of categories.*
- (2) *The extension $A \subset H$ is right faithfully flat.*
- (3) *The above unit and counit morphisms (2.2) are isomorphisms.*

It is immediate that (1) \Rightarrow (2) since an equivalence of categories is a faithfully exact functor and the exact sequences in ${}_A\mathcal{M}$ and ${}^C_H\mathcal{M}$ are precisely those that are exact in ${}_k\mathcal{M}$. It is clear that (3) \Rightarrow (1). The arguments we develop to prove Theorem 1.1 will provide as well a proof of (2) \Rightarrow (3).

2.2. The canonical isomorphisms. We will use some “canonical” isomorphisms, that we construct in this subsection.

For a left H -module X , endow $C \otimes X$ with the tensor product left H -module structure and with the left C -comodule structure provided by the comultiplication of C . In this way $C \otimes X$ becomes an object of ${}^C_H\mathcal{M}$ (in fact $C \otimes X$ is the image of X by the right adjoint to the forgetful functor ${}^C_H\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{M}$).

Proposition 2.2. *Let $A \subset H$ be a right coideal subalgebra and let X be left H -module. The canonical map*

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_X : H \otimes_A X &\longrightarrow C \otimes X \\ h \otimes_A x &\longmapsto \pi(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)}.x \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism in the category ${}^C_H\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. It is a direct verification that κ_X is a morphism in ${}^C_H\mathcal{M}$, and that

$$\begin{aligned} C \otimes X &\longrightarrow H \otimes_A X \\ \pi(h) \otimes x &\longmapsto h_{(1)} \otimes_A S(h_{(2)})x \end{aligned}$$

is the inverse isomorphism. □

2.3. The unit of the adjunction. We first analyse the unit of our adjunction, starting with a general observation.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $A \subset B$ be an algebra extension, let M be a left A -module M , and consider the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_M : M &\longrightarrow \left\{ \sum_i x_i \otimes_A m_i \in B \otimes_A M \mid \sum_i x_i \otimes_A 1_B \otimes_A m_i = \sum_i 1_B \otimes_A x_i \otimes_A m_i \right\} \\ m &\longmapsto 1_B \otimes_A m \end{aligned}$$

The ι_M is an isomorphism if one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) $A \subset B$ is right faithfully flat;
- (2) A is a direct summand in B as a right A -module.

Proof. It is a well-known result (see e.g. the second theorem of Section 13.1 in [8]) that ι_M is an isomorphism if B is faithfully flat as a right A -module, that we do not reproduce here.

Let $E : B \rightarrow A$ be a right conditional expectation. The right A -linearity of E enables us to define, for any left A -module M , the map

$$\begin{aligned} E_M : B \otimes_A M &\rightarrow M \\ x \otimes_A m &\mapsto E(x).m \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity denote $X(M)$ the space on the right. Let us check that $E_{M|X(M)} : X(M) \rightarrow M$ is an inverse isomorphism to ι_M . In one direction it is clear that $E_M \circ \iota_M = \text{id}_M$. To prove that $\iota_M \circ E_{M|X(M)} = \text{id}_{X(M)}$, similarly to before, notice that the right A -linearity of E enables us to define the map

$$\begin{aligned} E'_M : B \otimes_A B \otimes_A M &\rightarrow B \otimes_A M \\ x \otimes_A y \otimes_A m &\mapsto E(x)y \otimes_A m \end{aligned}$$

For $\sum_i x_i \otimes_A m_i \in X(M)$, we have

$$\sum_i 1_B \otimes_A x_i \otimes_A m_i = \sum_i x_i \otimes_A 1_B \otimes_A m_i$$

and applying E'_M yields

$$\sum_i x_i \otimes_A m_i = \sum_i E(x_i) \otimes_A m_i = 1_B \otimes_A \left(\sum_i E(x_i) \cdot m_i \right) = \iota_M \circ E_M \left(\sum_i x_i \otimes_A m_i \right)$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let $A \subset H$ be a right coideal subalgebra. Assume that $A \subset H$ is right faithfully flat or that A is a direct summand in H as a right A -module. Then for any left A -module M , the unit map*

$$\eta_M : M \longrightarrow {}^{\text{co}C}(H \otimes_A M)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We consider the canonical isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa'_M = \kappa_{H \otimes_A M} : H \otimes_A (H \otimes_A M) &\longrightarrow C \otimes (H \otimes_A M) \\ h \otimes_A h' \otimes_A m &\longmapsto \pi(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)} h' \otimes_A m \end{aligned}$$

from Proposition 2.2. For $\sum_i h_i \otimes_A m_i \in {}^{\text{co}C}(H \otimes_A M)$, we have

$$\kappa'_M \left(\sum_i h_i \otimes_A 1_H \otimes_A m_i \right) = \sum_i \pi(1) \otimes h_i \otimes_A m_i = \kappa'_M \left(\sum_i 1_H \otimes_A h_i \otimes_A m_i \right)$$

and the injectivity of κ'_M gives

$$\sum_i h_i \otimes_A 1_H \otimes_A m_i = \sum_i 1_H \otimes_A h_i \otimes_A m_i$$

Our assumption then ensures, by Proposition 2.3, the existence of a unique $m \in M$ such that $\sum_i h_i \otimes_A m_i = 1_H \otimes_A m$. This therefore defines a map ${}^{\text{co}C}(H \otimes_A M) \rightarrow M$, which is clearly an inverse to η_M . \square

Remark 2.5. If A is a direct summand in H as a right A -module, Proposition 2.4 ensures in particular that ${}^{\text{co}C}H = A$. Hence in view of Proposition 2.2, we see that $A \subset H$ is coalgebra Galois extension over C . Once this is noticed, the shortest way to obtain a proof of Theorem 1.1 is certainly to invoke [1, Proposition 4.4]. I thank B. Mesablishvili for pointing out coalgebra Galois extension in this context.

2.4. The counit of the adjunction. We now analyse the counit of our adjunction (L, R) . We begin with a lemma, in which we use the following notation: if X is an object of ${}^C_H\mathcal{M}$, we denote $i_X : {}^{\text{co}C}X \rightarrow X$ the natural inclusion map.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $A \subset H$ be a right coideal subalgebra and let X be an object of ${}^C_H\mathcal{M}$. Assume that A is a direct summand in H as a right A -module, and let $E : H \rightarrow A$ be a right conditional expectation. Then the map*

$$p_X = (E \otimes_A \text{id}_X) \circ \kappa_X^{-1} \circ \alpha_X : X \rightarrow X$$

is a projection of X onto ${}^{\text{co}C}X$. If moreover E is an A -bimodule conditional expectation, then $p_X : X \rightarrow {}^{\text{co}C}X$ is A -linear, and hence the map $\text{id}_H \otimes_A i_X : H \otimes_A {}^{\text{co}C}X \rightarrow H \otimes_A X$ is injective.

Proof. The above map p_X is well-defined since E is right A -linear, is an A -bimodule map as soon as E is, and for $x \in {}^{\text{co}C}X$, it is clear that $p_X(x) = x$, since $E(1_H) = 1_A$. Thus one

just has to check that p_X has values into ${}^{\text{co}C}X$, which follows from the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\alpha_X} & C \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\kappa_X^{-1}} & H \otimes_A X & \xrightarrow{E \otimes_A \text{id}_X} & X \\
\downarrow \alpha_X & & \downarrow \text{id}_C \otimes \alpha_X & & \downarrow \text{id}_H \otimes_A \alpha_X & & \downarrow \alpha_X \\
C \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\Delta_C \otimes \text{id}_X} & C \otimes (C \otimes X) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{C \otimes X}^{-1}} & H \otimes_A (C \otimes X) & \xrightarrow{E \otimes_A \otimes \text{id}_{C \otimes X}} & C \otimes X \\
& \searrow \kappa_X^{-1} & & \nearrow \nu_X & & & \\
& & H \otimes_A X & & & &
\end{array}$$

where $\nu_X : H \otimes_A X : H \otimes_A (C \otimes X)$ is defined by $\nu_X(h \otimes_A x) = h \otimes_A \pi(1) \otimes x$.

If E is an A -bimodule map, then p_X is left A -linear and therefore $\text{id}_H \otimes_A p_X$ provides a retraction to $\text{id}_H \otimes_A i_X$, which gives the last statement. \square

Proposition 2.7. *Let $A \subset H$ be a right coideal subalgebra and let X be an object of ${}^C_H\mathcal{M}$. Consider the following assertions:*

- (a) $\text{id}_H \otimes_A i_X : H \otimes_A {}^{\text{co}C}X \rightarrow H \otimes_A X$ is injective;
- (b) $\mu_X : H \otimes_A {}^{\text{co}C}X \rightarrow X$ is injective;
- (c) $\mu_X : H \otimes_A {}^{\text{co}C}X \rightarrow X$ is surjective.

Then we have (a) \iff (b) \implies (c). These assertions hold true if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1) H is flat as a right A -module;
- (2) H is a direct summand in B as an A -bimodule.

Proof. Consider the map $\delta : X \rightarrow C \otimes X$ defined by $\delta(x) = x_{(-1)} \otimes x_{(0)} - \pi(1) \otimes x$. This map is A -linear and the sequence of A -modules $0 \rightarrow {}^{\text{co}C}X \xrightarrow{i_X} X \xrightarrow{\delta} C \otimes X$ is exact. Applying $H \otimes_A -$ yields the sequence

$$H \otimes_A {}^{\text{co}C}X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_H \otimes_A i_X} H \otimes_A X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_H \otimes_A \delta} H \otimes_A (C \otimes X)$$

that fits in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H \otimes_A {}^{\text{co}C}X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_H \otimes_A i} & H \otimes_A X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_H \otimes_A \delta} & H \otimes_A (C \otimes X) \\
\downarrow \mu_X & & \downarrow \kappa_X & & \downarrow \kappa_{C \otimes X} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{\alpha_X} & C \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & C \otimes (C \otimes X)
\end{array}$$

where $\nabla : C \otimes X \rightarrow C \otimes (C \otimes X)$ is defined by

$$\nabla(\pi(h) \otimes x) = \pi(h) \otimes x_{(-1)} \otimes x_{(0)} - \pi(h_{(1)}) \otimes \pi(h_{(2)}) \otimes x$$

Since $\kappa_X^{-1} \circ \alpha_X$ is injective, we get (a) \iff (b).

Assume that (a) holds. Then the upper sequence in the above diagram is exact (while the lower row is exact by construction), and it is a simple diagram chasing to check that μ_X is surjective.

If (1) holds, then (a) holds by the definition of flatness, and if (2) holds, Lemma 2.6 ensures that (a) holds. \square

The proof of right faithful flatness in Theorem 1.1 is now immediate: if A is a direct summand in H as an A -bimodule, Proposition 2.4 ensures that the unit of the adjunction (L, R) is an isomorphism, and Proposition 2.7 ensures that the counit is an isomorphism as well, so $B \subset H$ is right faithfully flat by (3) \implies (2) in Theorem 2.1.

Under the assumption that A is a direct summand in H as an A -bimodule, left faithful flatness is shown similarly by considering the right H -module quotient coalgebra $D = H/A^+H$, and the category \mathcal{M}_H^D , we do not write the details.

Notice as well that Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.7 combined together immediately show (2) \Rightarrow (3) in Theorem 2.1.

3. CONCLUDING REMARK

It is unclear to us whether the the assumption “ A is a direct summand in H as an A -bimodule” in Theorem 1.1 can be weakened to “ A is direct summand as a right H -module” to conclude that H is right faithfully flat over A . In this concluding section we summarize what is known from the previous section.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $A \subset H$ be a right coideal subalgebra. Assume that A is a direct summand in H as a right A -module. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) H is faithfully flat as a right A -module;
- (2) H is flat as a right A -module;
- (3) For any object X of ${}^C_H\mathcal{M}$, the map $\text{id}_H \otimes_A i_X : H \otimes_A {}^{\text{co}C}X \rightarrow H \otimes_A X$ is injective;
- (4) For any object X of ${}^C_H\mathcal{M}$, the map $\mu_X : H \otimes_H {}^{\text{co}C}X \rightarrow X$ is surjective.

Proof. By definition (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (2) \Rightarrow (3), while (3) \Rightarrow (4) follows from Proposition 2.7. Assume that (4) holds. Since A is a direct summand in B as a right A -module, by Proposition 2.4 we are in the situation of a pair of adjoint functors (L, R) whose unit is an isomorphism and counit is an epimorphism: it is then easy to check that R faithful, and that the counit is a monomorphism as well, so that L and R are inverse equivalences (since we are dealing with categories in which morphisms that are both monomorphisms and epimorphisms are isomorphisms). Hence H is faithfully flat as a right A -module. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Brzezinski, On modules associated to coalgebra Galois extensions, *J. Algebra* **215** (1999), no. 1, 290-317.
- [2] T. Brzezinski, R. Wisbauer, Corings and comodules. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 309. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [3] A. Chirvasitu, Cosemisimple Hopf algebras are faithfully flat over Hopf subalgebras, *Algebra Number Theory* **8** (2014), no. 5, 1179-1199.
- [4] B. Mesablishvili, Monads of effective descent type and comonadicity, *Theory Appl. Categ.* **16** (2006), No. 1, 1-45.
- [5] S. Montgomery, Hopf algebras and their actions on rings. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 82. American Mathematical Society, 1993.
- [6] P. Nuss, Noncommutative descent and non-abelian cohomology, *K-Theory* **12** (1997), no. 1, 23-74.
- [7] M. Takeuchi, Relative Hopf modules - Equivalences and freeness criteria, *J. Algebra* **60** (1979), no. 2, 452-471.
- [8] W.C Waterhouse, Introduction to affine group schemes. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 66. Springer-Verlag, 1979.

UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT AUVERGNE, CNRS, LMBP, F-63000 CLERMONT-FERRAND, FRANCE
Email address: julien.bichon@uca.fr